arXiv:1609.02347v1 [math.DS] 8 Sep 2016

EXACT-DIMENSIONAL PROPERTY OF DENSITY OF STATES MEASURE OF STURM HAMILTONIAN

YANHUI QU

Abstract. For frequency α of bounded type and coupling $\lambda > 20$, we show that the density of states measure $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ of the related Sturm Hamiltonian is exact upper and lower dimensional, however, in general it is not exact-dimensional.

1. Introduction

Since the work [3], the Sturm Hamiltonian has been extensively studied as a typical model of quasi-periodic Schrödinger operator. The Sturm Hamiltonian is a bounded self-adjoint operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, defined by

$$(H_{\alpha,\lambda,\theta}\psi)_n := \psi_{n-1} + \psi_{n+1} + \lambda\chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(n\alpha+\theta \pmod 1)\psi_n,$$

where $\alpha \in [0,1]\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\theta \in [0,1)$. α, λ, θ are called the *frequency, coupling* and *phase*, respectively. It is well-known that the spectrum and the density of states measure (DOS) of Sturm Hamiltonian are independent of θ and we denote them by $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$, respectively (see [3, 4] for detail). The fractal dimensions of the spectrum have been studied by many authors, see [5] for a detailed review. In this paper, we focus on the dimensional properties, especially the exact-dimensional properties of $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$. Let us recall the related definitions.

Assume $\alpha \in [0,1]\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ has continued fraction expansion $\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \cdots]$ with $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\{a_n : n \geq 1\}$ is bounded, α is called of *bounded type*. If $a_n = \kappa$ for $n \geq N$, α is called of *eventually constant type*. $\alpha_\kappa := [0; \kappa, \kappa, \cdots]$ is called of *constant type*. The most famous frequency of constant type is the inverse of golden number $\alpha_1 = [0; 1, 1, \cdots] = (\sqrt{5}-1)/2$. The Sturm Hamiltonian $H_{\alpha_1,\lambda,\theta}$ is called *Fibonacci* Hamiltonian.

Assume finite measure μ is defined on a compact metric space X. Fix $x \in X$, we define the *upper* and *lower* local dimensions of μ at x as

$$\overline{d}_\mu(x) := \limsup_{r\to 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(x,r))}{\log r} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{d}_\mu(x) := \liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(x,r))}{\log r}.$$

If $\overline{d}_\mu(x) = \underline{d}_\mu(x)$, we say that the *local dimension* of μ at x exists and denote it by $d_\mu(x)$. The Hausdorff and packing dimensions of μ are defined as

$$\begin{cases} \dim_H \mu := \sup\{s : \underline{d}_\mu(x) \geq s \text{ for } \mu \text{ a.e. } x \in X\}, \\ \dim_P \mu := \sup\{s : \overline{d}_\mu(x) \geq s \text{ for } \mu \text{ a.e. } x \in X\}. \end{cases}$$

If there exists a constant d such that $\underline{d}_\mu(x) = d$ ($\overline{d}_\mu(x) = d$) for μ a.e. $x \in X$, then necessarily $\dim_H \mu = d$ ($\dim_P \mu = d$). In this case we say that μ is *exact lower (upper) dimensional*. If there exists a constant d such that $d_\mu(x) = d$ for μ a.e. $x \in X$, then necessarily $\dim_H \mu = \dim_P \mu = d$. In this case we say that μ is *exact-dimensional*.

Fix $\alpha \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\lambda > 0$, write

$$d_H(\alpha, \lambda) := \dim_H \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}, \quad d_P(\alpha, \lambda) := \dim_P \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}.$$

The most prominent model among the Sturm Hamiltonian is the Fibonacci Hamiltonian, which was introduced by physicists to model the quasicrystal system, see [15, 25]. The dimensional properties of its DOS have been studied in many works, see for example [28, 6, 7, 8, 26], especially the recent work [9]. We summarize the results which are related to our paper as follows: $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_1,\lambda}$ is exact-dimensional and

$$d(\alpha_1, \lambda) := d_H(\alpha_1, \lambda) = d_P(\alpha_1, \lambda) = \frac{h_{\nu_\lambda}}{\mathrm{Lyap}^u \nu_\lambda}, \tag{1.1}$$

where ν_λ is the measure of maximal entropy of the Fibonacci trace map T_λ and $\mathrm{Lyap}^u \nu_\lambda$ is the unstable Lyapunov exponent of ν_λ. $d(\alpha_1, \lambda)$ is an analytic function of λ and

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} d(\alpha_1, \lambda) = 1; \quad \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} d(\alpha_1, \lambda) \log \lambda = -\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{4} \log \alpha_1. \tag{1.2}$$

Girand [12] and Mei [23] considered the frequency α with eventually periodic continued fraction expansion. In both papers they showed that $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} d(\alpha, \lambda) = 1$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is exact dimensional for small λ. This generalizes the results in [7]. Munger [24] gave estimation on the optimal Hölder exponent of $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_\kappa,\lambda}$ and obtained asymptotic formula for it. For $\lambda > 20$, Qu [27] obtained the dimension formula of $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_\kappa,\lambda}$ similar with (1.1), he also showed that $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_\kappa,\lambda}$ is exact-dimensional and obtained similar asymptotic behavior as (1.2). We remark that, for all works mentioned above, the dynamical method is applicable due to the special types of the frequencies.

Recently, Jitomirskaya and Zhang [13] showed that if $\beta(\alpha) > 0$, then $d_P(\alpha, \lambda) = 1$, where $\beta(\alpha) := \limsup_n \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{q_n}$ and p_n/q_n is the n-th continued

fraction approximation of α. They also constructed specific α with $\beta(\alpha) > 0$ such that $d_H(\alpha, \lambda) < 1$ when $\lambda > 20$. In particular, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is not exact-dimensional for such α. We remark that the set $\{\alpha \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q} : \beta(\alpha) > 0\}$ has Hausdorff dimension 0.

Except Jitomirskaya-Zhang's result, almost nothing is known about the dimensional property of DOS for general Sturm Hamiltonian. The main motivation of this work is to understand the dimensional property of DOS for general Sturm Hamiltonian. As the first step, we concentrate on the frequencies of bounded type. Let us write

$$\mathscr{B} := \{\alpha \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q} : \alpha \text{ is of bounded type}\}.$$

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *(i) Assume $\alpha \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\lambda > 20$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is exact upper and lower dimensional. As a consequence, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is exact-dimensional if and only if $d_H(\alpha, \lambda) = d_P(\alpha, \lambda)$.*

(ii) There exists $\alpha \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is not exact-dimensional for λ large enough.

Remark 1.1. (i) Like the example in [13], our result reveals a new phenomenon, which does not occur for frequencies with eventually periodic expansions. Our result says more about the regularity of the DOS: although it can fail to be exact-dimensional, it is still nice in the sense that it is exact upper and lower dimensional.

(ii) By [18], $d_P(\alpha, \lambda) < 1$ for $\alpha \in \mathscr{B}$, so our result is different from that in [13]. Indeed, all the frequencies in $\mathscr{B}$ are Diophantine, while the frequencies considered in [13] are Liouville. It is known that $\mathscr{B}$ has Lebesgue measure 0, but has Hausdorff dimension 1. Thus the size of $\mathscr{B}$ is relatively big.

(iii) From fractal geometry point of view, it seems quite non-trivial to construct a finite measure such that it is exact upper and lower dimensional, nevertheless, it is not exact-dimensional. Here, we obtain such kind of measures naturally.

In the following, we roughly describe our idea of proof. The key notion we will introduce is the *Gibbs-like measure*, which is an analog of Gibbs measure in the non-dynamical setting.

It is known that $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$ can be coded by a symbolic space $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ through the coding map $\pi_\alpha : \Omega^{(\alpha)} \to \Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$. Moreover

$$\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda} = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} \bigcup_{w\in\Omega_n^{(\alpha)}} B_w,$$

where $\{B_w : w \in \Omega_n^{(\alpha)}\}$ are intervals related to the n-th periodic approximation of the operator $H_{\alpha,\lambda,0}$ (see [28, 17, 27]). The symbolic space $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ is a generalization of subshift of finite type, which is defined by a sequence of alphabets and incidence matrices. In general, there is no dynamic on $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ since the shift map is not invariant. If α is of bounded type, only finitely many alphabets and incidence matrices are needed to construct $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$.

One can define a metric d_α on $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ by

$$d_\alpha(x, y) := |B_{x\wedge y}|.$$

It can be shown that $\pi_\alpha : (\Omega^{(\alpha)}, d_\alpha) \to (\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}, |\cdot|)$ is bi-Lipschitz. Define

$$\mu_\alpha := (\pi_\alpha^{-1})_*(\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}),$$

then μ_α is supported on $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ and has the same dimensional property with $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ since π_α is bi-Lipschitz. By applying [28], μ_α has the following equivalent definition: define

$$\mu_n := \frac{1}{\#\Omega_n^{(\alpha)}} \sum_{w\in\Omega_n^{(\alpha)}} \delta_{x_w},$$

where x_w is any fixed point in the cylinder $[w]^\alpha$. Then μ_n converge to μ_α in weak-star topology. This definition suggests that μ_α is the "measure of maximal entropy" on $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$. It is well-known that the measure of maximal entropy of a subshift of finite type is a Gibbs measure, hence, we are motivated to generalize the notion of Gibbs measure to our non-dynamical setting.

Based on this observation, we will define Gibbs-like measure on certain symbolic space like $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ and develop the dimension theory of it. More precisely, at first, we define the abstract symbolic space Ω_α; next, we define the notion of Gibbs-like measure and introduce a family of nice potentials $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$, such that for each $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ we can associate a Gibbs-like measure; then we will show that such kind of measure is exact upper and lower dimensional. As an application of this theory, we show that μ_α is indeed a Gibbs-like measure of the potential $\Phi = \{\phi_n : n \geq 1\}$ defined by

$$\phi_n(x) := \log q_n(\alpha),$$

where $q_n(\alpha)$ is the denominator of the n-th approximation of α (we will show that $q_n(\alpha) \le \#\Omega_n^{(\alpha)} \le 5q_n(\alpha)$). As a consequence, μ_α is exact upper and lower dimensional, so does $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$.

To construct the DOS which is not exact-dimensional, we make use of the fact that $\dim_H \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_1,\lambda} \neq \dim_H \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_2,\lambda}$ for λ large enough (see [27]). We will construct a frequency $\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \cdots]$ such that $a_1 a_2 \cdots = 1^{t_1} 2^{\tau_1} 1^{t_2} 2^{\tau_2} \cdots$. By choosing t_i and τ_i carefully, we can show that the local dimension of $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ does not exist $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$-a.e., hence $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is not exact-dimensional.

Finally, we say some words on notations. By $a_n \sim b_n$, we mean that there exists $C > 1$ such that $C^{-1} b_n \le a_n \le C b_n$ for any n. By $a_n \sim_D b_n$, we mean that the constant C only depends on D. Given two measures μ, ν on a measurable space $(X, \mathscr{F})$, $\mu \asymp \nu$ means that there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that $C^{-1}\mu(A) \le \nu(A) \le C\mu(A)$ for any $A \in \mathscr{F}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we define the symbolic space and the potentials on it. In Sect. 3, we define Gibbs-like measure and study its exact-dimensional property. The result in this section is of independent interest. In Sect. 4, we study the structure of the spectrum of Sturm Hamiltonian. In Sect. 5, we apply the result in Sect. 3 to prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Symbolic space and potentials

The definitions of this section are motivated both by symbolic dynamical system and by the structure of the spectrum of Sturm Hamiltonian.

2.1. **Symbolic space.** Fix $M \ge 1$. Define $\mathbb{A} := \{1, \cdots, M\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Assume $\{\mathcal{A}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{A}_M\}$ is a pair-wise disjoint family of alphabets. Write $\mathcal{A}_i = \{a_{i1}, \cdots, a_{in_i}\}$. We further assume that $n_i \ge 2$ for $i = 1, \cdots, M$. Let $\mathcal{A} := \bigcup_{i=1}^M \mathcal{A}_i$, then $\#\mathcal{A} = n_1 + \cdots + n_M$. For any pairs $(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j)$, we associate an $n_i \times n_j$ incidence matrix A_{ij} with entries 0 or 1. For $a_{ik} \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $a_{jl} \in \mathcal{A}_j$, we say word $a_{ik}a_{jl}$ *admissible* and denote by $a_{ik} \to a_{jl}$ if $A_{ij}(k,l) = 1$, where $B(k,l)$ denote the (k,l)-th entry of a matrix B. We always assume the following

Strongly primitive condition: there exists $p_0 \ge 2$ such that for any $m \ge p_0$ and any $a_1 \cdots a_m \in \{1, \cdots, M\}^m$,

$$A_{a_1 a_2} \cdot A_{a_2 a_3} \cdots A_{(m-1)m} \text{ is a positive matrix.} \tag{2.1}$$

The following property will be repeated used later. It follows directly from (2.1).

Lemma 2.1. *Fix $m \geq p_0$ and $a_1 \cdots a_m \in \{1, \cdots, M\}^m$. Take any $e \in \mathcal{A}_{a_1}$ and $\hat{e} \in \mathcal{A}_{a_m}$. Then there exists an admissible word $w \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{A}_{a_i}$ such that $w_1 = e$ and $w_m = \hat{e}$.*

For each $\alpha = a_1 a_2 \cdots \in \mathbb{A}$, define the *symbolic space* Ω_α as

$$\Omega_\alpha := \{x_1 x_2 \cdots \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{a_i} : x_i \to x_{i+1} \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}.$$

α is called the *index* of Ω_α. From the definition, Ω_α is completed determined by the alphabet sequence $(\mathcal{A}_{a_1}, \mathcal{A}_{a_2}, \cdots)$ and incidence matrix sequence $(A_{a_1 a_2}, A_{a_2 a_3}, \cdots)$. Given $x \in \Omega_\alpha$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, write $x|_n := x_1 \cdots x_n$.

Define a metric on $\prod_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_{a_i}$ as

$$\hat{d}(x, y) := 2^{-|x \wedge y|},$$

where $x \wedge y$ denotes the maximal common prefix of x and y, then $\prod_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_{a_i}$ becomes a compact metric space. It is seen that Ω_α is a closed subset of $\prod_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_{a_i}$, hence also compact.

Remark 2.2. If $\alpha = \kappa^\infty$, then there is only one alphabet $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$, and only one incidence matrix $A_{\kappa\kappa}$, which is primitive. In this case, Ω_α is a subshift of finite type with alphabet $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ and incidence matrix $A_{\kappa\kappa}$.

Fix $\vec{a} = a_1 \cdots a_n \in \{1, \cdots, M\}^n$, define

$$\Omega_{\vec{a},n} := \{w_1 \cdots w_n \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{A}_{a_i} : w_i \to w_{i+1}\}.$$

For $\alpha = a_1 a_2 \cdots \in \mathbb{A}$, write $\alpha|_n := a_1 \cdots a_n$,

$$\Omega_{\alpha,n} := \Omega_{\alpha|_n,n} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{\alpha,*} := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \Omega_{\alpha,n}.$$

Any $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,*}$ is called an *admissible* word. For $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,*}$, $|w|$ denotes the length of w. If $v, w \in \Omega_{\alpha,*}$ and $w = vu$, v is called a *prefix* of w and denoted by $v \prec w$.

For any $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,n}$ and $m \geq 0$, define the set of *descendents of w of m-th generation* as

$$\Xi_{w,m}(\alpha) := \{v \in \Omega_{\alpha,n+m} : w \prec v\}. \tag{2.2}$$

By (2.1) and the definition of admissibility, it is seen that

$$1 \leq \#\Xi_{w,m}(\alpha) \leq (\#\mathcal{A})^m. \tag{2.3}$$

Given $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,n}$, the *cylinder* $[w]_\alpha$ in Ω_α is defined as

$$[w]_\alpha := \{x \in \Omega_\alpha : x|_n = w\}.$$

It is well-known that $[w]_\alpha$ is both open and closed, and $\{[w]_\alpha : w \in \Omega_{\alpha,*}\}$ forms a basis of the topology on Ω_α.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}(\Omega_\alpha)$ the set of probability measures supported on Ω_α.

2.2. **potential and weak Gibbs metric on Ω_α.** To introduce the Gibbs-like measure and the weak Gibbs metric on Ω_α, we need to consider potentials defined on Ω_α.

2.2.1. *Potentials on Ω_α.* Given a family of continuous functions $\phi_n : \Omega_\alpha \to \mathbb{R}$. Write $\Phi = \{\phi_n : n \geq 1\}$. Φ is called a *potential*.

We call Φ *regular* if there exists a constant $C_{\rm rg}(\Phi) > 0$ such that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\phi_n - \phi_m\|_\infty \leq C_{\rm rg}(\Phi)|n - m|. \tag{2.4}$$

We say that Φ has *bounded variation* if there exists a constant $C_{\rm bv}(\Phi) \geq 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup\{|\phi_n(x) - \phi_n(y)| : x, y \in \Omega_\alpha, x|_n = y|_n\} \leq C_{\rm bv}(\Phi). \tag{2.5}$$

we say that Φ has *bounded covariation* if there exists a constant $C_{\rm bc}(\Phi) \geq 0$ such that for any $w = uv, \tilde{w} = \tilde{u}v \in \Omega_{\alpha,*}$ and any $x \in [w]_\alpha, \tilde{x} \in [\tilde{w}]_\alpha$,

$$|(\phi_{|w|}(x) - \phi_{|u|}(x)) - (\phi_{|\tilde{w}|}(\tilde{x}) - \phi_{|\tilde{u}|}(\tilde{x}))| \leq C_{\rm bc}(\Phi). \tag{2.6}$$

We call Φ *positive* if $\phi_n(x) \uparrow +\infty$ for any $x \in \Omega_\alpha$. We call Φ *negative*, if $-\Phi$ is positive.

Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$ the set of all regular potentials with bounded variation. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ the set of all potentials in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$ with bounded covariation. Define

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha^+ := \{\Phi \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha : \Phi \text{ is positive}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_\alpha^+ := \{\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha : \Phi \text{ is positive}\}.$$

$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha^-$ and $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^-$ can be defined similarly.

2.2.2. *Weak Gibbs metric on Ω_α.* The following definition is motivated by [11, 14, 2]. Fix a potential $\Psi \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha^-$. For any $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,*}$, define

$$r_w(\Psi) := \sup_{x \in [w]_\alpha} \exp(\psi_{|w|}(x)).$$

For any $x, y \in \Omega_\alpha$, define

$$d_\Psi(x, y) := \begin{cases} r_{x \wedge y}(\Psi) & x \neq y, \\ 0 & x = y. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Lemma 2.3. *(i) d_Ψ is a ultrametric on Ω_α and it induces the same topology on Ω_α as $\hat{d}$ does. (Ω_α, d_Ψ) has no isolated point.*

(ii) Define $c := \exp(-C_{\rm bv}(\Psi) - p_0 C_{\rm rg}(\Psi))$, then for any $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,n}$,

$$c \cdot r_w(\Psi) \le \operatorname{diam}([w]_\alpha) \le r_w(\Psi), \tag{2.8}$$

Define $\hat{c} := \exp(-C_{\rm bv}(\Psi))$, then for any $x \in [w]_\alpha$,

$$B(x, \hat{c} \cdot r_w(\Psi)) \subset [w]_\alpha \subset \overline{B}(x, r_w(\Psi)), \tag{2.9}$$

where $\overline{B}$ means closed ball.

(iii) (Ω_α, d_Ψ) has Besicovitch's covering property.

Proof. (i) It is obvious that $d_\Psi(x,y) \ge 0$, $d_\Psi(x,y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$ and $d_\Psi(x,y) = d_\Psi(y,x)$. Let us check the triangle inequality.

Claim 1: If $x \wedge z \prec x \wedge y$, then $d_\Psi(x,y) \le d_\Psi(x,z)$.

$\lhd$ Write $m := |x \wedge z|$ and $n := |x \wedge y|$, then $m \le n$. Assume $t \in [x \wedge y]_\alpha$ is such that $d_\Psi(x,y) = \exp(\psi_n(t))$, we have $\psi_n(t) \le \psi_m(t)$ since Ψ is negetive. Since $t \in [x \wedge y]_\alpha \subset [x \wedge z]_\alpha$, we conclude that $d_\Psi(x,y) \le d_\Psi(x,z)$. $\rhd$

Given $x, y \in \Omega_\alpha$, without loss of generality, we assume $x \ne y$. Assume $|x \wedge y| = n$, then $x_{n+1} \ne y_{n+1}$. Take any $z \in \Omega_\alpha$. If $z|_n \ne x \wedge y$, then $x \wedge z \prec x \wedge y$. By Claim 1, $d_\Psi(x,y) \le d_\Psi(x,z)$. If $z|_n = x \wedge y$, then at least one of $x \wedge z$ and $y \wedge z$ is equal to $x \wedge y$ since $x_{n+1} \ne y_{n+1}$. Hence $d_\Psi(x,y) \le \max\{d_\Psi(x,z), d_\Psi(y,z)\}$. Thus d_Ψ is a ultrametric on Ω_α.

Write $\psi_n^* = \max\{\psi_n(x) : x \in \Omega_\alpha\}$ and $\psi_{n*} = \min\{\psi_n(x) : x \in \Omega_\alpha\}$. Since Ψ is negative, we conclude that $\psi_n^* \downarrow -\infty$ as $n \to \infty$. By the definition, we have

$$\exp(\psi_{|x\wedge y|*}) \le d_\Psi(x,y) \le \exp(\psi^*_{|x\wedge y|}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{d}(x,y) = 2^{-|x\wedge y|}.$$

From this, it is easy to show that d_Ψ and $\hat{d}$ induce the same topology.

Fix any $x \in \Omega_\alpha$, by Lemma 2.1, we can construct a sequence $\{y^k : k \ge 1\}$ such that $x \ne y^k$ and $|x \wedge y^k| \uparrow \infty$, thus $d_\Psi(x, y^k) \to 0$. This means that x is not isolated.

(ii) If $x, y \in [w]_\alpha$, then $[x \wedge y]_\alpha \subset [w]_\alpha$. Since Ψ is negetive,

$$d_\Psi(x,y) = \sup_{z \in [x\wedge y]_\alpha} \exp(\psi_{|x\wedge y|}(z)) \le \sup_{z \in [w]_\alpha} \exp(\psi_{|w|}(z)) = r_w(\Psi). \tag{2.10}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, there exist $x, y \in [w]_\alpha$ such that $x \wedge y = wu$ with $m := |u| \le p_0$. Assume $t \in [x \wedge y]_\alpha$ is such that $\exp(\psi_{|w|+m}(t)) = d_\Psi(x,y)$ and $\tilde{t} \in [w]_\alpha$ is such that $\exp(\psi_{|w|}(\tilde{t})) = \sup_{z \in [w]_\alpha} \exp(\psi_{|w|}(z))$.

Then by the regular property and bounded variation of Ψ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\Psi(x,y) &= \exp(\psi_{|w|+m}(t)) = \exp(\psi_{|w|}(t))\exp(\psi_{|w|+m}(t)-\psi_{|w|}(t)) \\ &\geq \exp(\psi_{|w|}(t))\exp(-mC_{\rm rg}(\Psi)) \geq \exp(\psi_{|w|}(t))\exp(-p_0C_{\rm rg}(\Psi)) \\ &\geq \exp(\psi_{|w|}(\tilde{t}))\exp(\psi_{|w|}(t)-\psi_{|w|}(\tilde{t}))\exp(-p_0C_{\rm rg}(\Psi)) \\ &\geq \exp(\psi_{|w|}(\tilde{t}))\exp(-C_{\rm bv}(\Psi)-p_0C_{\rm rg}(\Psi)). \end{aligned}$$

Then (2.8) holds.

Now fix $x\in[w]_\alpha$, by (2.10), $[w]_\alpha\subset\overline{B}(x,r_w(\Psi))$. If $y\notin[w]_\alpha$, then $x\wedge y$ is a strict prefix of w and hence $m:=|x\wedge y|<|w|$. Assume $\tau\in[x\wedge y]_\alpha$ is such that $d_\Psi(x,y)=\exp(\psi_m(\tau))$ and $\tilde{\tau}\in[w]_\alpha$ is such that $r_w(\Psi)=\exp(\psi_{|w|}(\tilde{\tau}))$. Since Ψ is negative and has bounded variation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\Psi(x,y) &= \exp(\psi_m(\tau)) = \exp(\psi_m(\tilde{\tau}))\exp(\psi_m(\tau)-\psi_m(\tilde{\tau})) \\ &\geq \exp(\psi_m(\tilde{\tau}))\exp(-C_{\rm bv}(\Psi)) \\ &= \exp(\psi_{|w|}(\tilde{\tau}))\exp(\psi_m(\tilde{\tau})-\psi_{|w|}(\tilde{\tau}))\exp(-C_{\rm bv}(\Psi)) \\ &\geq \exp(-C_{\rm bv}(\Psi))r_w(\Psi). \end{aligned}$$

This means that $B(x,\hat{c}\cdot r_w(\Psi))\subset[w]_\alpha$. Thus (2.9) holds.

(iii) At first we show the following:

Claim 2: For any $r>0$ and $x\in\Omega_\alpha$, the closed ball $\overline{B}(x,r)$ is a cylinder.

$\lhd$ Since x is not isolated, there exists $y\in\overline{B}(x,r)\setminus\{x\}$. Let us show that $[x\wedge y]_\alpha\subset\overline{B}(x,r)$. Indeed, if $z\in[x\wedge y]_\alpha$, then $x\wedge y\prec x\wedge z$. By Claim 1, $d_\Psi(x,z)\leq d_\Psi(x,y)\leq r$, so $z\in\overline{B}(x,r)$.

Define $n_0:=\inf\{|x\wedge y|:y\in\overline{B}(x,r)\}$. Let us show that $\overline{B}(x,r)=[x|_{n_0}]_\alpha$.

Since $\overline{B}(x,r)\setminus\{x\}$ is nonempty, there exists $y\in\overline{B}(x,r)$ such that $x\wedge y=x|_{n_0}$. We already showed that $[x|_{n_0}]_\alpha=[x\wedge y]_\alpha\subset\overline{B}(x,r)$. If there exists $z\in\overline{B}(x,r)\setminus[x|_{n_0}]_\alpha$, then $x\wedge z$ must be a strict prefix of $x|_{n_0}$, hence $|x\wedge z|<n_0$, which contradicts with the definition of n_0. $\rhd$

Now assume $A\subset\Omega_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is a family of closed balls such that for any $x\in A$, there exists a closed ball $\overline{B}(x,r_x)\in\mathcal{B}$. By Claim 2, $\overline{B}(x,r_x)=[w^x]_\alpha$ for some $w^x\in\Omega_{\alpha,*}$. Write $\mathcal{U}_0:=\{w^x:x\in A\}$. We define two sequences $\mathcal{W}_n$ and $\mathcal{U}_n$ inductively as follows. Assume $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}$ has been defined, write $m_{n-1}:=\min\{|w|:w\in\mathcal{U}_{n-1}\}$. Define

$$\mathcal{W}_n:=\Omega_{\alpha,m_{n-1}}\cap\mathcal{U}_{n-1}.$$

Define $\mathcal{U}_n$ as

$$\mathcal{U}_n:=\{w\in\mathcal{U}_{n-1}:u\not\prec w \text{ for any } u\in\mathcal{W}_n\}.$$

If $\mathcal{U}_n = \emptyset$, then the process stops. Otherwise, $m_n > m_{n-1}$, thus induction can continue. By induction, we finish the definition of $\mathcal{W}_n$ and $\mathcal{U}_n$.

Now define $\mathcal{W}_\infty := \bigcup_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{W}_n$.

Claim 3: If $w, \tilde{w} \in \mathcal{W}_\infty$ and $w \neq \tilde{w}$, then $[w]_\alpha \cap [\tilde{w}]_\alpha = \emptyset$. Moreover

$$A \subset \bigcup_{w\in\mathcal{W}_\infty} [w]_\alpha.$$

$\lhd$ By the way we define $\mathcal{W}_n$, if $w \neq \tilde{w}$, then $w \not\prec \tilde{w}$ and $\tilde{w} \not\prec w$, hence $[w]_\alpha \cap [\tilde{w}]_\alpha = \emptyset$. Given $x \in A$. Either $w^x \in \mathcal{W}_\infty$, then $x \in \overline{B}(x, r_x) = [w^x]_\alpha$. Or $w^x \notin \mathcal{W}_\infty$, thus w^x is gotten rid of from $\mathcal{U}_{k-1}$ for some k. However, this means that there exists some $w \in \mathcal{W}_k$ such that $w \prec w^x$. Consequently, $x \in \overline{B}(x, r_x) = [w^x]_\alpha \subset [w]_\alpha$. $\rhd$

Claim 3 obviously implies that (Ω_α, d_Ψ) has Besicovitch's covering property with multiplicity 1 (see for example [22] Chapter 2 for the related definition). $\square$

3. Exact-dimensional property of Gibbs-like measure

At first, we define Gibbs-like measure and give criterion for its existence. Then we fix a weak Gibbs metric on Ω_α and study the exact-dimensional properties of Gibbs-like measures. We write α as $\alpha = a_1a_2a_3\cdots$.

3.1. Definition and existence of Gibbs-like measure.

Our definition of Gibbs-like measure is inspired by [21, 10], where the measure is defined in a geometric way.

Given $\Phi \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega_\alpha)$ is called a *Gibbs-like* measure of Φ, if there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that for any $x \in \Omega_\alpha$,

$$\frac{C^{-1}\exp(\phi_n(x))}{\sum_{w\in\Omega_{\alpha,n}}\exp(\phi_n(x_w))} \leq \mu([x|_n]_\alpha) \leq \frac{C\exp(\phi_n(x))}{\sum_{w\in\Omega_{\alpha,n}}\exp(\phi_n(x_w))}, \tag{3.1}$$

where for any $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,n}$, x_w is any fixed point in $[w]_\alpha$.

We have the following criterion for the existence of Gibbs-like measure:

Theorem 3.1. *If $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$, then Φ has a Gibbs-like measure. Moreover the constant C in* (3.1) *only depends on $C_{\mathrm{rg}}(\Phi), C_{\mathrm{bv}}(\Phi), C_{\mathrm{bc}}(\Phi), \#\mathcal{A}$ and p_0.*

The proof relies on a technical lemma which we will state now.

From now on, for any $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,*}$, we fix $x_w \in [w]_\alpha$ once for all. Given a $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,n}$, define

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_n = \sigma_n(\Phi) := & \sum_{w\in\Omega_{\alpha,n}}\exp(\phi_n(x_w)), \\ \sigma_m^w = \sigma_m^w(\Phi) := & \sum_{v\in\Xi_{w,m}(\alpha)}\exp(\phi_{n+m}(x_v) - \phi_n(x_w)), \end{cases}$$

where $\Xi_{w,m}(\alpha)$ is defined by (2.2).

Lemma 3.2. *Fix $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and define σ_n, σ_m^w as above. Then there exists a constant $C > 1$ depending only on $C_{\mathrm{rg}}(\Phi), C_{\mathrm{bv}}(\Phi), C_{\mathrm{bc}}(\Phi), \#\mathcal{A}$ and p_0 such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 0$ and any $w, \tilde{w} \in \Omega_{\alpha,n}$,*

$$C^{-1}\sigma_m^{\tilde{w}} \leq \sigma_m^w \leq C\sigma_m^{\tilde{w}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Consequently for any $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,n}$,

$$C^{-1}\sigma_n\sigma_m^w \leq \sigma_{n+m} \leq C\sigma_n\sigma_m^w. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Let C_1, C_2, C_3 be the constants in (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), respectively. Recall that p_0 is such that (2.1) holds.

At first we assume $0 \leq m \leq p_0$. If $w \prec v$, by regularity and bounded variation of Φ, we have $|\phi_{n+m}(x_v) - \phi_n(x_w)| \leq p_0C_1 + C_2$. Then by (2.3),

$$\exp(-p_0C_1 - C_2) \leq \sigma_m^w \leq (\#\mathcal{A})^{p_0}\exp(p_0C_1 + C_2). \tag{3.4}$$

Thus (3.2) holds for $\tilde{C} = (\#\mathcal{A})^{p_0}\exp(2p_0C_1 + 2C_2)$.

Next we assume $m > p_0$. Fix any $e \in \mathcal{A}_{a_{n+p_0}}$ and define

$$\begin{cases} X_e = \{u \in \prod_{j=n+1}^{n+p_0-1} \mathcal{A}_{a_j} : wue \text{ admissible } \} \\ \tilde{X}_e = \{\tilde{u} \in \prod_{j=n+1}^{n+p_0-1} \mathcal{A}_{a_j} : \tilde{w}\tilde{u}e \text{ admissible } \} \\ Y_e = \{v \in \prod_{j=n+p_0+1}^{n+m} \mathcal{A}_{a_j} : ev \text{ admissible } \}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_m^w &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{A}_{a_{n+p_0}}} \sum_{v\in Y_e} \sum_{u\in X_e} \exp(\phi_{n+m}(x_{wuev}) - \phi_n(x_w)) \\ \sigma_m^{\tilde{w}} &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{A}_{a_{n+p_0}}} \sum_{v\in Y_e} \sum_{\tilde{u}\in \tilde{X}_e} \exp(\phi_{n+m}(x_{\tilde{w}\tilde{u}ev}) - \phi_n(x_{\tilde{w}})). \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and the definition of admissibility, we have

$$1 \leq \#X_e, \#\tilde{X}_e \leq (\#\mathcal{A})^{p_0}. \tag{3.6}$$

For any $u \in X_e$ and $v \in Y_e$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_{n+m}(x_{wuev}) - \phi_n(x_w) \\ = \ & \phi_{n+m}(x_{wuev}) - \phi_n(x_{wuev}) + \phi_n(x_{wuev}) - \phi_n(x_w) \\ \leq \ & \phi_{n+m}(x_{wuev}) - \phi_n(x_{wuev}) + C_2 \\ = \ & \phi_{n+m}(x_{wuev}) - \phi_{n+p_0}(x_{wuev}) + \phi_{n+p_0}(x_{wuev}) - \phi_n(x_{wuev}) + C_2 \\ \leq \ & \phi_{n+m}(x_{wuev}) - \phi_{n+p_0}(x_{wuev}) + p_0C_1 + C_2, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equation is due to bounded variation of Φ, the fourth equation is due to the fact that Φ is regular. By the same proof we get

$$\phi_{n+m}(x_{wuev}) - \phi_n(x_w) \geq \phi_{n+m}(x_{wuev}) - \phi_{n+p_0}(x_{wuev}) - p_0C_1 - C_2.$$

Similarly, for any $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{X}_e$ and $v \in Y_e$ we have

$$|\phi_{n+m}(x_{\tilde{w}\tilde{u}ev}) - \phi_n(x_{\tilde{w}}) - (\phi_{n+m}(x_{\tilde{w}\tilde{u}ev}) - \phi_{n+p_0}(x_{\tilde{w}\tilde{u}ev}))\,| \leq p_0C_1 + C_2.$$

By bounded covariation of Φ, we have

$$|\phi_{n+m}(x_{wuev}) - \phi_n(x_w) - (\phi_{n+m}(x_{\tilde{w}\tilde{u}ev}) - \phi_n(x_{\tilde{w}}))\,| \leq 2p_0C_1 + 2C_2 + C_3. \tag{3.7}$$

Define $C = (\#\mathcal{A})^{p_0}\exp(2p_0C_1 + 2C_2 + C_3)$, we get (3.2) by combining (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7).

Now for any fixed $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,n}$, by (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{n+m} &= \sum_{u\in\Omega_{\alpha,n+m}} \exp(\phi_{n+m}(x_u)) = \sum_{\tilde{w}\in\Omega_{\alpha,n}} \sum_{u\in\Xi_{\tilde{w},m}(\alpha)} \exp(\phi_{n+m}(x_u)) \\ &= \sum_{\tilde{w}\in\Omega_{\alpha,n}} \sigma_m^{\tilde{w}}\exp(\phi_n(x_{\tilde{w}})) \leq C\ \sigma_m^w \sum_{\tilde{w}\in\Omega_{\alpha,n}} \exp(\phi_n(x_{\tilde{w}})) = C\sigma_m^w\sigma_n.\end{aligned}$$

$\sigma_{n+m} \geq C^{-1}\sigma_m^w\sigma_n$ follows in the same way. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a measure μ_n on the Borel σ-algebra generalized by the n-th cylinders as follows: for any $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,n}$, let $\mu_n([w]_\alpha) := \exp(\phi_n(x_w))/\sigma_n$. Assume μ is any weak-star limit of $\{\mu_n : n \geq 1\}$. Let us show that μ is a Gibbs-like measure of Φ.

Fix any $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,n}$ and $m \geq 0$. By (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{n+m}([w]_\alpha) &= \sum_{u\in\Xi_{w,m}(\alpha)} \mu_{n+m}([u]_\alpha) = \sigma_{n+m}^{-1} \sum_{u\in\Xi_{w,m}(\alpha)} \exp(\phi_{n+m}(x_u)) \\ &= \sigma_{n+m}^{-1}\exp(\phi_n(x_w)) \sum_{u\in\Xi_{w,m}(\alpha)} \exp(\phi_{n+m}(x_u) - \phi_n(x_w)) \\ &= \sigma_{n+m}^{-1}\exp(\phi_n(x_w))\cdot\sigma_m^w \sim_C \frac{\exp(\phi_n(x_w))}{\sigma_n}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $[w]_\alpha$ is open and closed, since some subsequence μ_{n+m_l} converge weakly to μ, let $l \to \infty$ we get

$$\mu([w]_\alpha) \sim_C \frac{\exp(\phi_n(x_w))}{\sigma_n}.$$

Since Φ has bounded variation, the above property implies that μ is a Gibbs-like measure, and the constant in (3.1) only depends on $C_{\mathrm{rg}}(\Phi)$, $C_{\mathrm{bv}}(\Phi), C_{\mathrm{bc}}(\Phi), \#\mathcal{A}$ and p_0. □

3.2. **Exact-dimensional properties of Gibbs-like measure.** Fix $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha^-$ and define the weak Gibbs metric d_Ψ on Ω_α by (2.7). Gibbs-like measure behaves well on the metric space (Ω_α, d_Ψ) in the following sense:

Theorem 3.3. *Fix $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and let μ be a Gibbs-like measure of Φ, then μ is exact upper and lower dimensional.*

Proof. At first we show that μ is exact lower dimensional. We show it by contradiction. If μ is not exact lower dimensional, then there exist two disjoint Borel subsets $X, Y \subset \Omega_\alpha$ with $\mu(X), \mu(Y) > 0$ and two numbers $d_1 < d_2$ such that

$$\underline{d}_\mu(x) \le d_1 \ (\forall x \in X) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{d}_\mu(y) \ge d_2 \ (\forall y \in Y).$$

By Lemma 2.3 (iii), the space Ω_α has Besicovitch's covering property. By the density property for Radon measure (see for example [22] Chapter 2), there exist $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu(X \cap [x|_n]_\alpha)}{\mu([x|_n]_\alpha)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu(Y \cap [y|_n]_\alpha)}{\mu([y|_n]_\alpha)} = 1.$$

Let $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5 > 0$ be the constants in (2.4), (2.5), (2.6), (3.1) and (3.3), respectively. Recall that p_0 is such that (2.1) holds. By (3.4), there exists a constant $C_6 > 0$ such that $\sigma_{p_0}^w \le C_6$ for any $w \in \Omega_{\alpha,*}$.

Fix $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\delta < \min\{\big((4C_4^4 \exp(2C_2 + C_3) + 1)C_4^2 C_5 C_6 \exp(p_0 C_1 + C_2)\big)^{-1}, 1/2\}. \tag{3.8}$$

Assume q is such that

$$\begin{cases} \mu(X \cap [x|_q]_\alpha) \ge (1-\delta)\mu([x|_q]_\alpha), \\ \mu(Y \cap [y|_q]_\alpha) \ge (1-\delta)\mu([y|_q]_\alpha). \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Claim 1: for any $v \in \Xi_{x|_q,p_0}(\alpha)$ and $w \in \Xi_{y|_q,p_0}(\alpha)$,

$$\begin{cases} \mu(X \cap [v]_\alpha) \ge (1 - C\delta)\mu([v]_\alpha), \\ \mu(Y \cap [w]_\alpha) \ge (1 - C\delta)\mu([w]_\alpha), \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

where $C = C_4^2 C_5 C_6 \exp(p_0 C_1 + C_2)$.

$\lhd$ We only show the first inequality of (3.10), since the proof of the second one is the same. We have $[x|_q]_\alpha = \bigcup_{v \in \Xi_{x|_q,p_0}(\alpha)} [v]_\alpha$. Define

$$\eta := \max\left\{ \frac{\mu([v]_\alpha \setminus X)}{\mu([v]_\alpha)} : v \in \Xi_{x|_q,p_0}(\alpha) \right\}.$$

If $\eta = 0$, the result holds trivially. So we assume $\eta > 0$ and $\hat{v} \in \Xi_{x|_q,p_0}(\alpha)$ attains the maximum. Consequently by (3.9),

$$\eta\mu([\hat{v}]_\alpha) = \mu([\hat{v}]_\alpha \setminus X) \le \mu([x|_q]_\alpha \setminus X) \le \delta\mu([x|_q]_\alpha).$$

By Gibbs-like property of μ, we have

$$\mu([\hat{v}]_\alpha) \ge C_4^{-1}\frac{\exp(\phi_{q+p_0}(x_{\hat{v}}))}{\sigma_{q+p_0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu([x|_q]_\alpha) \le C_4\frac{\exp(\phi_q(x))}{\sigma_q}.$$

By (3.3) and (3.4), we have $\sigma_{q+p_0} \le C_5\sigma_q\sigma_{p_0}^{x|_q} \le C_5C_6\sigma_q$. By regularity and bounded variation of Φ, we have

$$|\phi_q(x) - \phi_{q+p_0}(x_{\hat{v}})| \le |\phi_q(x) - \phi_q(x_{\hat{v}})| + |\phi_q(x_{\hat{v}}) - \phi_{q+p_0}(x_{\hat{v}})| \le C_2 + p_0C_1.$$

Thus

$$\eta \le \delta\frac{\mu([x|_q]_\alpha)}{\mu([\hat{v}]_\alpha)} \le C_4^2\frac{\sigma_{q+p_0}}{\sigma_q}\exp(\phi_q(x)-\phi_{q+p_0}(x_{\hat{v}}))\delta \le C_4^2C_5C_6\exp(p_0C_1+C_2)\delta.$$

Then the result follows. ▷

By Lemma 2.1, we can find $v \in \Xi_{x|_q,p_0}(\alpha)$ and $w \in \Xi_{y|_q,p_0}(\alpha)$ such that $v_{q+p_0} = w_{q+p_0}$. We fix such a pair (v, w).

Claim 2: There exist $\tilde{x} \in [v]_\alpha \cap X$ and $\tilde{y} \in [w]_\alpha \cap Y$ such that $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$ have the same tail, i.e., there exist $l \ge q + p_0$ and $z \in \prod_{j=l+1}^{\infty}\mathcal{A}_{a_j}$ such that $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}|_l \cdot z$ and $\tilde{y} = \tilde{y}|_l \cdot z$.

◁ We show it by contradiction. Assume for any $\tilde{x} \in [v]_\alpha \cap X$ and any $\tilde{y} \in [w]_\alpha \cap Y$, $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$ have no the same tail.

By (3.10) we have $\mu(X \cap [v]_\alpha) > (1 - C\delta)\mu([v]_\alpha)$. Take a compact set $\hat{X} \subset X \cap [v]_\alpha$ such that $\mu(\hat{X}) > (1-2C\delta)\mu([v]_\alpha)$. Consequently $\mu([v]_\alpha \setminus \hat{X}) \le 2C\delta\mu([v]_\alpha)$. Notice that $[v]_\alpha \setminus \hat{X}$ is an open set, thus it is a countable disjoint union of cylinders: $[v]_\alpha \setminus \hat{X} = \bigcup_{j\ge1}[vw_j]_\alpha$, where different w_j are non compatible. Thus we get

$$\sum_{j\ge1}\frac{\mu([vw_j]_\alpha)}{\mu([v]_\alpha)} \le 2C\delta. \tag{3.11}$$

Since $v_{q+p_0} = w_{q+p_0}$ and for any $\tilde{x} \in [v]_\alpha \cap X$ and any $\tilde{y} \in [w]_\alpha \cap Y$, $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$ have no the same tail, we must have

$$[w]_\alpha \cap Y \subset \bigcup_{j\ge1}[ww_j]_\alpha. \tag{3.12}$$

By the Gibbs-like property of μ, we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mu([vw_j]_\alpha)}{\mu([v]_\alpha)} & \geq C_4^{-2} \cdot \frac{\exp(\phi_{q+p_0+|w_j|}(x_{vw_j}))}{\exp(\phi_{q+p_0}(x_v))} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{q+p_0}}{\sigma_{q+p_0+|w_j|}} \\ \frac{\mu([ww_j]_\alpha)}{\mu([w]_\alpha)} & \leq C_4^{2} \cdot \frac{\exp(\phi_{q+p_0+|w_j|}(x_{ww_j}))}{\exp(\phi_{q+p_0}(x_w))} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{q+p_0}}{\sigma_{q+p_0+|w_j|}}. \end{cases}$$

By bounded variation and covariation of Φ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu([ww_j]_\alpha)}{\mu([w]_\alpha)} \Big/ \frac{\mu([vw_j]_\alpha)}{\mu([v]_\alpha)} \\ \leq\ & C_4^4 \exp\Big(\phi_{q+p_0+|w_j|}(x_{ww_j}) - \phi_{q+p_0}(x_w) \\ & -(\phi_{q+p_0+|w_j|}(x_{vw_j}) - \phi_{q+p_0}(x_v))\Big) \\ \leq\ & C_4^4 \exp(2C_2) \exp\Big(\phi_{q+p_0+|w_j|}(x_{ww_j}) - \phi_{q+p_0}(x_{ww_j}) \\ & -(\phi_{q+p_0+|w_j|}(x_{vw_j}) - \phi_{q+p_0}(x_{vw_j}))\Big) \\ \leq\ & C_4^4 \exp(2C_2 + C_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (3.12), (3.11) and (3.8), we have

$$\frac{\mu([w]_\alpha \cap Y)}{\mu([w]_\alpha)} \leq \sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{\mu([ww_j]_\alpha)}{\mu([w]_\alpha)} \leq 2CC_4^4 \exp(2C_2 + C_3)\delta \leq (1 - C\delta)/2,$$

which contradicts with (3.10). ▷

Claim 2 leads to a contradiction. Indeed we have

$$\underline{d}_\mu(\tilde{x}) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \mu([\tilde{x}|_n]_\alpha)}{\log \operatorname{diam}([\tilde{x}|_n]_\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{d}_\mu(\tilde{y}) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \mu([\tilde{y}|_n]_\alpha)}{\log \operatorname{diam}([\tilde{y}|_n]_\alpha)}.$$

(We note that, due to (2.9), we can use cylinders to compute the lower local dimension.) On one hand, by the Gibbs-like property of μ, we have

$$\mu([\tilde{x}|_n]_\alpha) \sim \frac{\exp(\phi_n(\tilde{x}))}{\sigma_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu([\tilde{y}|_n]_\alpha) \sim \frac{\exp(\phi_n(\tilde{y}))}{\sigma_n}. \tag{3.13}$$

By the bounded covariation of Φ, $|\phi_n(\tilde{x}) - \phi_l(\tilde{x}) - (\phi_n(\tilde{y}) - \phi_l(\tilde{y}))| \leq C_{bc}(\Phi)$ for any $n \geq l$. Since l is a fixed number, combine with (3.13) we conclude that

$$\mu([\tilde{x}|_n]_\alpha) \sim \mu([\tilde{y}|_n]_\alpha). \tag{3.14}$$

On the other hand, by (2.8) and bounded variation of Ψ,

$$\operatorname{diam}([\tilde{x}|_n]_\alpha) \sim \exp(\psi_n(\tilde{x})) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{diam}([\tilde{y}|_n]_\alpha) \sim \exp(\psi_n(\tilde{y})).$$

By the bounded covariation of Ψ, we conclude that

$$\operatorname{diam}([\tilde{x}|_n]_\alpha) \sim \operatorname{diam}([\tilde{y}|_n]_\alpha). \tag{3.15}$$

Combine (3.14) and (3.15) we get $\underline{d}_\mu(\tilde{x}) = \underline{d}_\mu(\tilde{y})$. However since $\tilde{x} \in X$ and $\tilde{y} \in Y$, we also have $\underline{d}_\mu(\tilde{x}) \le d_1 < d_2 \le \underline{d}_\mu(\tilde{y})$, which is a contradiction.

Thus we conclude that μ is exact lower dimensional.

The same proof shows that μ is also exact upper dimensional. □

4. Structure of the spectrum of Sturm Hamiltonian

In this section, as a preparation for the proof of the main result, we study the structure of the spectrum of Sturm Hamiltonian.

4.1. **Facts on continued fraction and Sturm sequence.** Recall that Gauss map $G : (0,1) \to (0,1)$ is defined as $G(\alpha) := 1/\alpha - [1/\alpha]$. Assume α has continued fraction expansion $[0; a_1, a_2, \cdots]$. Let $p_n/q_n (n > 0)$ be the n-th approximation of α, given by:

$$\begin{aligned} &p_{-1} = 1, \qquad p_0 = 0, \qquad p_{n+1} = a_{n+1}p_n + p_{n-1},\ n \ge 0, \\ &q_{-1} = 0, \qquad q_0 = 1, \qquad q_{n+1} = a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1},\ n \ge 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We also use the notations $a_n(\alpha), p_n(\alpha)$ and $q_n(\alpha)$ to emphasize the dependence of these quantities on α.

Fix $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and define a subset of $\mathscr{B}$ as

$$\mathscr{B}_M := \{\alpha \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q} : \alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \cdots] \text{ with } a_n \le M\}.$$

It is seen that $\mathscr{B} = \bigcup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} \mathscr{B}_M$. The following Lemma will be useful later.

Lemma 4.1. *(i) Given $\alpha \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Then for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$q_n(\alpha) q_m(G^n(\alpha)) \le q_{n+m}(\alpha) \le 2 q_n(\alpha) q_m(G^n(\alpha)).$$

(ii) If $\alpha \in \mathscr{B}_M$, then $q_n(\alpha) \le (M+1)^n$.

Proof. (i) Write $e_1 = (1,0), e_2 = (0,1)$. For any $\beta \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, write

$$B_n(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} a_n(\beta) & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (4.1) we have

$$(q_n(\beta), q_{n-1}(\beta))^t = B_n(\beta) \cdots B_1(\beta) \cdot e_1^t. \tag{4.2}$$

Since $B_1(\beta) \cdot e_2^t = e_1^t$ and $a_{n-1}(G(\beta)) = a_n(\beta)$, we have

$$(q_{n-1}(G(\beta)), q_{n-2}(G(\beta)))^t = B_n(\beta) \cdots B_1(\beta) \cdot e_2^t. \tag{4.3}$$

Write $\hat{\beta} = G^n(\alpha)$. By (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$q_{n+m}(\alpha) \;=\; e_1 \cdot B_{n+m}(\alpha) \cdots B_{n+1}(\alpha) \cdot B_n(\alpha) \cdots B_1(\alpha) \cdot e_1^t$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= e_1 \cdot B_m(\hat{\beta}) \cdots B_1(\hat{\beta}) \cdot B_n(\alpha) \cdots B_1(\alpha) \cdot e_1^t \\
&= e_1 \cdot B_m(\hat{\beta}) \cdots B_1(\hat{\beta}) \cdot (q_n(\alpha), q_{n-1}(\alpha))^t \\
&= q_n(\alpha) e_1 \cdot B_m(\hat{\beta}) \cdots B_1(\hat{\beta}) \cdot e_1^t + \\
&\quad q_{n-1}(\alpha) e_1 \cdot B_m(\hat{\beta}) \cdots B_1(\hat{\beta}) \cdot e_2^t \\
&= q_n(\alpha) q_m(\hat{\beta}) + q_{n-1}(\alpha) q_{m-1}(G(\hat{\beta})).
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction, it is ready to show that $0 < q_{n-1}(\alpha) \le q_n(\alpha)$ and $0 < q_{m-1}(G(\hat{\beta})) \le q_m(\hat{\beta})$. Then the result follows.

(ii) It follows from (4.1) and induction. □

For $\alpha \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, the *standard* Strum sequence $S_n(\alpha)$ is defined by

$$
S_n(\alpha) := \chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(n\alpha \pmod 1), \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).
$$

Lemma 4.2. *If $a_i(\alpha) = a_i(\beta)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$, then $q_n(\alpha) = q_n(\beta) =: q_n$. Moreover $S_i(\alpha) = S_i(\beta)$ for $1 \le i \le q_n$.*

Proof. The first statement follows from (4.1). See [1, 20] for a proof of the second statement. □

4.2. **Structure and coding of the spectrum.**

4.2.1. *The structure of the spectrum.* We describe the structure of the spectrum $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$ for fixed $\alpha \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\lambda > 0$, for more details, we refer to [29, 3, 28, 18].

Recall that the Sturm potential is given by $v_n = \lambda \chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(n\alpha + \theta \pmod 1)$. Since $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is independent of the phase θ, in the rest of the paper we will take $\theta = 0$. Thus $v_n = \lambda S_n(\alpha)$. Assume α has continued fraction expansion $[0; a_1, a_2, \cdots]$ and define q_n by (4.1). For any $n \ge 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the transfer matrix $M_n(x)$ over q_n sites is defined by

$$
\mathbf{M}_n(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x - v_{q_n} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x - v_{q_n-1} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} x - v_1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
$$

By convention we take

$$
\mathbf{M}_{-1}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{M}_0(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

For $n \ge 0$, $p \ge -1$, let $h_{(n,p)}(x) := \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{M}_{n-1}(x) \mathbf{M}_n^p(x)$ and

$$
\sigma_{(n,p)} := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |h_{(n,p)}(x)| \le 2\},
$$

where $\operatorname{tr} M$ stands for the trace of the matrix M. $\sigma_{(n,p)}$ is made of finitely many bands. Moreover, for any $n \geq 0$,

$$(\sigma_{(n+2,0)} \cup \sigma_{(n+1,0)}) \subset (\sigma_{(n+1,0)} \cup \sigma_{(n,0)}) \text{ and } \Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda} = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} (\sigma_{(n+1,0)} \cup \sigma_{(n,0)}).$$

The intervals in $\sigma_{(n,p)}$ are called *bands*. For any band B of $\sigma_{(n,p)}$, $h_{(n,p)}(x)$ is monotone on B and $h_{(n,p)}(B) = [-2, 2]$. We call $h_{(n,p)}$ the *generating polynomial* of B and denote it by $h_B := h_{(n,p)}$.

$\{\sigma_{(n+1,0)} \cup \sigma_{(n,0)} : n \geq 0\}$ form a covering of $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$. However there are some repetitions between $\sigma_{(n,0)} \cup \sigma_{(n-1,0)}$ and $\sigma_{(n+1,0)} \cup \sigma_{(n,0)}$. When $\lambda > 4$, it is possible to choose a covering of $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$ elaborately such that we can get rid of these repetitions, as we will describe in the follows:

Definition 4.3. ([28, 18]) *For $\lambda > 4$, $n \geq 0$, define three types of bands as:*
(n, I)-type band: a band of $\sigma_{(n,1)}$ contained in a band of $\sigma_{(n,0)}$;
(n, II)-type band: a band of $\sigma_{(n+1,0)}$ contained in a band of $\sigma_{(n,-1)}$;
(n, III)-type band: a band of $\sigma_{(n+1,0)}$ contained in a band of $\sigma_{(n,0)}$.

All three types of bands actually occur and they are disjoint. We call these bands *spectral generating bands of order n*. Note that there are only two spectral generating bands of order 0, one is $\sigma_{(0,1)} = [\lambda - 2, \lambda + 2]$ with generating polynomial $h_{(0,1)} = x - \lambda$ and type $(0, I)$, the other is $\sigma_{(1,0)} = [-2, 2]$ with generating polynomial $h_{(1,0)} = x$ and type $(0, III)$.

For any $n \geq 0$, denote by $\mathcal{B}_n$ the set of spectral generating bands of order n, then the intervals in $\mathcal{B}_n$ are disjoint. Moreover ([28, 18])

- $(\sigma_{(n+2,0)} \cup \sigma_{(n+1,0)}) \subset \bigcup_{B\in\mathcal{B}_n} B \subset (\sigma_{(n+1,0)} \cup \sigma_{(n,0)})$, thus
$$\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda} = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} \bigcup_{B\in\mathcal{B}_n} B.$$
- any (n, I)-type band contains only one band in $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$, which is of $(n + 1, II)$-type.
- any (n, II)-type band contains $2a_{n+1} + 1$ bands in $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$, $a_{n+1} + 1$ of which are of $(n+1, I)$-type and a_{n+1} of which are of $(n+1, III)$-type.
- any (n, III)-type band contains $2a_{n+1} - 1$ bands in $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$, a_{n+1} of which are of $(n+1, I)$-type and $a_{n+1} - 1$ of which are of $(n+1, III)$-type.

Thus $\{\mathcal{B}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ form a natural covering of the spectrum $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$ ([19, 16]).

Remark 4.4. From the definition, $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{[\lambda - 2, \lambda + 2], [-2, 2]\}$. For $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{B}_n$ should depend on α, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}_n(\alpha)$. On the other hand, by Definition

4.3, Lemma 4.2 and the fact that $v_k = \lambda S_k(\alpha)$, we conclude that $\mathcal{B}_n$ indeed only depends on $\vec{a} = a_1 \cdots a_n$, where $\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \cdots]$. That is, $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}_n(\vec{a})$.

4.2.2. *The coding of the spectrum.* In the following we give a coding of the spectrum $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$ based on [28, 17, 27]. Here we essentially follow [27]. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define an alphabet $\mathcal{A}_n$ as

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \{(I, j)_n : j = 1, \cdots, n+1\} \cup \{II_n\} \cup \{(III, j)_n : j = 1, \cdots, n\}.$$

Then $\#\mathcal{A}_n = 2n + 2$. We order the elements in $\mathcal{A}_n$ as

$$(I, 1)_n < \cdots < (I, n+1)_n < II_n < (III, 1)_n < \cdots < (III, n)_n.$$

To simplify the notation, we rename the above line as

$$e_{n,1} < e_{n,2} < \cdots < e_{n,2n+2}.$$

Given $e_{n,i} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and $e_{m,j} \in \mathcal{A}_m$, we call $e_{n,i}e_{m,j}$ *admissible*, denote by $e_{n,i} \to e_{m,j}$, if

$$\begin{aligned}(e_{n,i}, e_{m,j}) \quad \in \quad & \{((I,k)_n, II_m) : 1 \le k \le n+1\} \cup \\ & \{(II_n, (I,l)_m) : 1 \le l \le m+1\} \cup \\ & \{(II_n, (III,l)_m) : 1 \le l \le m\} \cup \\ & \{((III,k)_n, (I,l)_m) : 1 \le k \le n,\ 1 \le l \le m\} \cup \\ & \{((III,k)_n, (III,l)_m) : 1 \le k \le n, 1 \le l \le m-1\}.\end{aligned}$$

For pair $(\mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{A}_m)$, we define the incidence matrix $A_{nm} = (a_{ij})$ of size $(2n+2) \times (2m+2)$ as

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & e_{n,i} \to e_{m,j}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

When $n = m$, we write $A_n := A_{nn}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define an auxiliary matrix as follows:

$$\hat{A}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ n+1 & 0 & n \\ n & 0 & n-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us show that $\{A_{nm} : n, m \ge 1\}$ defined by (4.4) is strongly primitive:

Proposition 4.5. *For any $k \ge 6$ and any sequence $a_1 \cdots a_k \in \mathbb{N}^k$, the matrix $A_{a_1a_2}A_{a_2a_3} \cdots A_{a_{k-1}a_k}$ is positive.*

Proof. At first we show the result for $k = 6$. Fix any sequence $a_1 \cdots a_6 \in \mathbb{N}^6$ and any $e \in \mathcal{A}_{a_1}$ and $\hat{e} \in \mathcal{A}_{a_6}$, we only need to show that there is an admissible word $e_1 \cdots e_6 \in \prod_{j=1}^{6} \mathcal{A}_{a_j}$ such that $e_1 = e$ and $e_6 = \hat{e}$. We construct the desired admissible word as follows.

If $e = (I, j)_{a_1}$ and $\hat{e} = (I, l)_{a_6}$ or $(III, l)_{a_6}$, take

$$e_1 \cdots e_6 = e\ II_{a_2}(III, 1)_{a_3}(I, 1)_{a_4} II_{a_5}\hat{e}.$$

If $e = (I, j)_{a_1}$ and $\hat{e} = II_{a_6}$, take

$$e_1 \cdots e_6 = e\ II_{a_2}(I, 1)_{a_3} II_{a_4}(I, 1)_{a_5}\hat{e}.$$

If $e = II_{a_1}$ and $\hat{e} = (I, l)_{a_6}$ or $(III, l)_{a_6}$, take

$$e_1 \cdots e_6 = e\ (I, 1)_{a_2} II_{a_3}(I, 1)_{a_4} II_{a_5}\hat{e}.$$

If $e = II_{a_1}$ and $\hat{e} = II_{a_6}$, take

$$e_1 \cdots e_6 = e\ (III, 1)_{a_2}(I, 1)_{a_3} II_{a_4}(I, 1)_{a_5}\hat{e}.$$

If $e = (III, j)_{a_1}$ and $\hat{e} = (I, l)_{a_6}$ or $(III, l)_{a_6}$, take

$$e_1 \cdots e_6 = e\ (I, 1)_{a_2} II_{a_3}(I, 1)_{a_4} II_{a_5}\hat{e}.$$

If $e = (III, j)_{a_1}$ and $\hat{e} = II_{a_6}$, take

$$e_1 \cdots e_6 = e\ (I, 1)_{a_2} II_{a_3}(III, 1)_{a_4}(I, 1)_{a_5}\hat{e}.$$

For general k, we note that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, each column and each array of A_{nm} has at least one 1. Then the result follows easily from the case $k = 6$. □

We also define $\mathcal{A}_0 := \{I, III\}$ and write $e_{0,1} = I$ and $e_{0,2} = III$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Given $e_{0,i} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $e_{m,j} \in \mathcal{A}_m$, we call $e_{0,i}e_{m,j}$ *admissible*, denote by $e_{0,i} \to e_{m,j}$, if

$$\begin{aligned}(e_{0,i}, e_{m,j}) \quad \in \quad & \{(I, II_m)\} \cup \{(III, (I, l)_m) : 1 \le l \le m\} \cup \\ & \{(III, (III, l)_m) : 1 \le l \le m - 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

For pair $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_m)$, we define the incidence matrix $A_{0m} = (a_{ij})$ of size $2 \times (2m + 2)$ as

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & e_{0,i} \to e_{m,j}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any $\vec{a} = a_1 \cdots a_n \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and any $0 \le m \le n$, define

$$\Omega_m^{(\vec{a})} := \{w_0 \cdots w_m \in \mathcal{A}_0 \times \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{A}_{a_j} : w_j \to w_{j+1}\}.$$

If $\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \cdots]$, define a symbolic space $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ as

$$\Omega^{(\alpha)} = \{x_0 x_1 x_2 \cdots \in \mathcal{A}_0 \times \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{a_j} : x_j \to x_{j+1}\}.$$

For $x \in \Omega^{(\alpha)}$, we write $x|_n := x_0 \cdots x_n$. More generally we write $x[n, n+k]$ for $x_n \cdots x_{n+k}$. Define $\Omega_n^{(\alpha)} := \{x|_n : x \in \Omega^{(\alpha)}\}$ and $\Omega_*^{(\alpha)} = \bigcup_n \Omega_n^{(\alpha)}$. It is seen that $\Omega_n^{(\alpha)} = \Omega_n^{(\vec{a})}$, where $\vec{a} = a_1 \cdots a_n$. Given $w = w_0 \cdots w_n \in \Omega_n^{(\alpha)}$, denote by $|w|$ the length of w, then $|w| = n + 1$. If the last letter $w_n = (T, j)_{a_n}$, then we write $t_w = T$, and say that w has *type* T. If $w = uw'$, then we say u is a *prefix* of w and denote by $u \prec w$. For $x, y \in \Omega^{(\alpha)}$, we denote by $x \wedge y$ the maximal common prefix of x and y. Given $w \in \Omega_n^{(\alpha)}$, we define the cylinder

$$[w]^\alpha := \{x \in \Omega^{(\alpha)} : x|_n = w\}.$$

In the following we explain that $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ is a coding of the spectrum $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$.

At first we fix $\vec{a} = a_1 \cdots a_n \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and code the intervals in $\mathcal{B}_n(\vec{a})$ by $\Omega_n^{(\vec{a})}$ (see Remark 4.4 for the notation $\mathcal{B}_n(\vec{a})$). Write $\mathcal{B}_0(\vec{a}) := \mathcal{B}_0$. For any $1 \le m \le n$, write $\mathcal{B}_m(\vec{a}) := \mathcal{B}_m(a_1 \cdots a_m)$. Define $B_I := [\lambda - 2, \lambda + 2]$ and $B_{III} := [-2, 2]$, then $\mathcal{B}_0(\vec{a}) = \mathcal{B}_0 = \{B_w : w \in \Omega_0^{(\vec{a})}\}$. Assume B_w is defined for any $w \in \Omega_{m-1}^{(\vec{a})}$. Now for any $w \in \Omega_m^{(\vec{a})}$, write $w = w'e = w'(T, j)_{a_m}$. Then define B_w to be the unique j-th (m, T) type band in $\mathcal{B}_m(\vec{a})$ which is contained in $B_{w'}$. Then $\mathcal{B}_m(\vec{a}) = \{B_w : w \in \Omega_m^{(\vec{a})}\}$. By induction, we code all the bands in $\mathcal{B}_n(\vec{a})$ by $\Omega_n^{(\vec{a})}$.

Now we can define a natural projection $\pi_\alpha : \Omega^{(\alpha)} \to \Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$ as

$$\pi_\alpha(x) := \bigcap_{n \ge 0} B_{x|_n}. \tag{4.5}$$

It is seen that π_α is a bijection, thus $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ is a coding of $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$.

Remark 4.6. $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ is not exactly the symbolic space Ω_α defined in Sect. 2, indeed it is an "augment" of Ω_α with alphabet sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_{a_1}, \mathcal{A}_{a_2} \cdots\}$ and incidence matrix sequence $\{A_{0a_1}, A_{a_1 a_2}, \cdots\}$. However, since 0 only appears at the first place, by checking the proof, all the results developed in Sect. 3 still holds on the symbolic space $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$. We denote the class of regular (negative) potentials on $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ with bounded variation and covariation by $\mathcal{F}^\alpha(\mathcal{F}_-^\alpha)$.

We also need the following facts later.

Lemma 4.7. *(i) For any sequence $a_1 \cdots a_5 \in \{1, \cdots, M\}^5$, we have*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \le \hat{A}_{a_1} \cdots \hat{A}_{a_5} \le 81(M+1)^5 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(ii) If $\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \cdots]$, then

$$\#\Omega_n^{(\alpha)} = (1,0,1) \cdot \hat{A}_{a_1} \cdots \hat{A}_{a_n} \cdot (1,1,1)^t.$$

Proof. (i) Notice that if $1 \le a \le M$, then $\hat{A}_1 \le \hat{A}_a \le (M+1)J$, where J is the matrices with all entries equal to 1. Then the result follows by direct computation.

(ii) It follows from the definition and induction. □

4.3. **Other useful facts of Sturm Hamiltonian.** We collect some useful results of Sturm Hamiltonian for later use. Fix $\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \cdots]$ and consider the operator $H_{\alpha,\lambda,0}$. We write $h_k(x) := h_{k+1,0}(x) = \operatorname{tr} M_k(x)$ and

$$\sigma_k := \sigma_{(k+1,0)} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : h_k(x)| \le 2\}. \tag{4.6}$$

We note that σ_k consists of $q_k(\alpha)$ disjoint intervals.

The following two lemmas are implicitly proven in [28]:

Lemma 4.8. *$\sigma_k = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_k(\alpha) : B$ is of type (k, II) or $(k, III)\}$.*

Define $v^I = (1,0,0), v^{II} = (0,1,0), v^{III} = (0,0,1)$ and $v_* = (0,1,1)^T$.

Lemma 4.9. *Given $w \in \Omega_n^{(\alpha)}$, then*

$$\#\{u \in \Omega_{n+m}^{(\alpha)} : w \prec u, t_u = II, III\} = v^{t_w} \cdot \hat{A}_{a_{n+1}} \cdots \hat{A}_{a_{n+m}} \cdot v_*.$$

The following theorem is [10] Theorem 5.1, see also [17] Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 4.10 (Bounded covariation)**.** *Assume $\lambda > 20$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{B}_M$. Then there exists constant $\eta > 1$ only depending on λ and M such that if $w, wu \in \Omega_*^{(\alpha)}$ and $\tilde{w}, \tilde{w}u \in \Omega_*^{(\beta)}$, then*

$$\eta^{-1} \frac{|B_{wu}|}{|B_w|} \le \frac{|B_{\tilde{w}u}|}{|B_{\tilde{w}}|} \le \eta \frac{|B_{wu}|}{|B_w|}.$$

We remark that in [10], only the case $\alpha = \beta$ is considered. However by checking the proof, the same argument indeed shows the stronger result as stated in Theorem 4.10.

The following lemma is a direct consequence of [10] Corollary 3.1:

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\lambda > 20$ and $\alpha \in \mathscr{B}_M$. Then there exists constant $0 < c = c(\lambda, M) < 1$ such that for any $w \in \Omega_n^{(\alpha)}$,*

$$c|B_{w|_{n-1}}| \le |B_w| \quad \textit{and} \quad |B_w| \le 2^{2-n}. \tag{4.7}$$

The following result is also useful later.

Lemma 4.12. $q_n(\alpha) \le \#\Omega_n^{(\alpha)} \le 5q_n(\alpha)$.

Proof. By the definition of $\mathcal{B}_n(\alpha)$, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_n(\alpha) &= \{B_w : w \in \Omega_n^{(\alpha)}\} \\
&= \{B_w : w \in \Omega_n^{(\alpha)}; t_w = II, III\} \cup \{B_w : w \in \Omega_n^{(\alpha)}; t_w = I\} \\
&= \{B_w : w \in \Omega_n^{(\alpha)}; t_w = II, III\} \cup \\
&\quad \{B_{u(I,j)_{a_n}} : u \in \Omega_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}; t_u = II, 1 \le j \le a_n + 1\} \cup \\
&\quad \{B_{u(I,j)_{a_n}} : u \in \Omega_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}; t_u = III, 1 \le j \le a_n\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that σ_n is the n-th approximation of $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$ (see (4.6)), which is made of $q_n(\alpha)$ bands. Combine with Lemma 4.8 and (4.1), we have

$$q_n(\alpha) \le \#\mathcal{B}_n(\alpha) = \#\Omega_n^{(\alpha)} \le q_n(\alpha) + 4a_n q_{n-1}(\alpha) \le 5q_n(\alpha).$$

□

5. Exact-dimensional property of DOS

In this section, we apply the result in Sect. 3 to prove Theorem 1.1. At first, we construct a potential $\Psi^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_-^\alpha$ and define the related weak Gibbs metric $d_\alpha = d_{\Psi^\alpha}$ such that π_α is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism between $(\Omega^{(\alpha)}, d_\alpha)$ and $(\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}, |\cdot|)$. Then we construct a potential $\Phi^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha$ such that $(\pi_\alpha)_*(\mu_\alpha) \asymp \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$, where μ_α is a Gibbs-like measure of Φ^α. From this, we conclude that $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is exact upper and lower dimensional. In the last subsection, we construct a frequency $\alpha \in \mathscr{B}_2$, such that $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is not exact-dimensional when λ is large enough.

Throughout the first two subsections, we always fix $\alpha \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\lambda > 20$. Choose $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha \in \mathscr{B}_M$.

5.1. Weak Gibbs metric on $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$.

Define $\Psi^\alpha = \{\psi_n^\alpha : n \ge 1\}$ on $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ as

$$\psi_n^\alpha(x) := \log |B_{x|_n}|. \tag{5.1}$$

Lemma 5.1. *$\Psi^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_-^\alpha$ with related constants $C_{\mathrm{rg}}(\Psi^\alpha), C_{\mathrm{bv}}(\Psi^\alpha), C_{\mathrm{bc}}(\Psi^\alpha)$ only depending on λ and M.*

Proof. Fix any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\psi^\alpha_{n+k}(x) - \psi^\alpha_n(x) = \log(|B_{x|_{n+k}}|/|B_{x|n}|)$. Since $\Omega^{(\alpha)}_0 = \{I, III\}$ and $\Omega^{(\alpha)}_1$ contains at least one word of type II, there exists $u \in \Omega^{(\alpha)}_0 \cup \Omega^{(\alpha)}_1$ such that u and $x|_n$ have the same type. Write $w = x_{n+1} \cdots x_{n+k}$, by (4.7), there exists constant $0 < c < 1$ only depending on λ and M such that

$$4c \le |B_u| \le 4 \quad \text{and} \quad 4c^{k+1} \le |B_{uw}| \le 4 \cdot 2^{-k}.$$

Then by Theorem 4.10, there exists constant $\eta > 1$ only depending on λ and M such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi^\alpha_{n+k}(x) - \psi^\alpha_n(x)| &= \left| \log \left(\frac{|B_{x|_{n+k}}|}{|B_{x|n}|} / \frac{|B_{uw}|}{|B_u|} \right) + \log \frac{|B_{uw}|}{|B_u|} \right| \\ &\le \log \eta + |\log |B_{uw}|| + |\log |B_u|| \\ &\le \log \eta + 4 \log 2 + k(\log 2 - 3 \log c) \\ &\le k(5 \log 2 - 3 \log c + \log \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence Ψ^α is regular with $C_{\mathrm{rg}}(\Psi^\alpha) = 5 \log 2 - 3 \log c + \log \eta$.

From the definition, if $x|_n = y|_n$, then $\psi^\alpha_n(x) = \psi^\alpha_n(y)$. Hence Ψ^α has bounded variation with $C_{\mathrm{bv}}(\Psi^\alpha) = 0$.

Now assume $w = uv, \tilde{w} = \tilde{u}v \in \Omega^{(\alpha)}_*$ and $x \in [w]^\alpha, \tilde{x} \in [\tilde{w}]^\alpha$. By Theorem 4.10, we have

$$|(\psi^\alpha_{|w|}(x) - \psi^\alpha_{|u|}(x)) - (\psi^\alpha_{|\tilde{w}|}(\tilde{x}) - \psi^\alpha_{|\tilde{u}|}(\tilde{x}))| = \left| \log \left(\frac{|B_w|}{|B_u|} / \frac{|B_{\tilde{w}}|}{|B_{\tilde{u}}|} \right) \right| \le \log \eta.$$

Thus Ψ^α has bounded covariation with $C_{\mathrm{bc}}(\Psi^\alpha) = \log \eta$.

Thus $\Psi^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha$ and $C_{\mathrm{rg}}(\Psi^\alpha), C_{\mathrm{bv}}(\Psi^\alpha), C_{\mathrm{bc}}(\Psi^\alpha)$ only depend on λ and M.

Since $B_{x|_{n+1}}$ is a subinterval of $B_{x|_n}$, combine with (4.7), we have

$$\psi^\alpha_{n+1}(x) = \log |B_{x|_{n+1}}| \le \log |B_{x|_n}| = \psi^\alpha_n(x) \le (2 - n) \log 2.$$

Thus Ψ^α is negative. □

Define the weak Gibbs metric $d_\alpha := d_{\Psi^\alpha}$ on $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$, then for any $x, y \in \Omega^{(\alpha)}$,

$$d_\alpha(x, y) = |B_{x \wedge y}|.$$

Recall that π_α is defined by (4.5).

Proposition 5.2. *$\pi_\alpha : (\Omega^{(\alpha)}, d_\alpha) \to (\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}, |\cdot|)$ is bi-Lipschitz.*

To prove this property, we do some preparation. Write $\mathrm{Co}(\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}) \setminus \Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda} = \bigcup_i G_i$, where $\mathrm{Co}(\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda})$ is the convex hull of $\Sigma_{\alpha,\lambda}$. Each G_i is called a *gap* of the spectrum. A gap G is called of *order* n, if G is covered by some band in $\mathcal{B}_n$ but not covered by any band in $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$. Denote by $\mathcal{G}_n$ the set of gaps of

order n. For any $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$, let B_G be the unique band in $\mathcal{B}_n$ which contains G. The following lemma is proven in [27]:

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a constant $C = C(M, \lambda) \in (0, 1)$ such that for any gap $G \in \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{G}_n$ we have $|G| \geq C|B_G|$.*

Proof of Proposition 5.2. Given $x, y \in \Omega^{(\alpha)}$. Assume $x|_n = y|_n$ and $x_{n+1} \neq y_{n+1}$, then $d_\alpha(x, y) = |B_{x|_n}|$. Write $\hat{x} := \pi_\alpha(x)$ and $\hat{y} := \pi_\alpha(y)$. By the definition of π_α, we have $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in B_{x|_n}$, consequently

$$|\hat{x} - \hat{y}| \leq |B_{x|_n}| = d_\alpha(x, y).$$

On the other hand, since $x_{n+1} \neq y_{n+1}$, there is a gap G of order n which is contained in the open interval $(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$. By Lemma 5.3, there exists a constant $C = C(M, \lambda) > 0$ such that

$$|\hat{x} - \hat{y}| \geq |G| \geq C|B_G| = C|B_{x|_n}| = Cd_\alpha(x, y).$$

Thus π_α is bi-Lipschitz. □

5.2. **DOS is exact upper and lower dimensional.** Define $\Phi^\alpha = \{\phi_n^\alpha : n \geq 1\}$ on $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ as

$$\phi_n^\alpha(x) := \log q_n(\alpha). \tag{5.2}$$

Proposition 5.4. *$\Phi^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha$ with*

$$C_{\mathrm{rg}}(\Phi^\alpha) = 2\log(M + 1), \quad C_{\mathrm{bv}}(\Phi^\alpha) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad C_{\mathrm{bc}}(\Phi^\alpha) = \log 2.$$

Proof. For any $n, m \geq 1$, by Lemma 4.1 and the fact that $G^n(\alpha) \in \mathscr{B}_M$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_n^\alpha(x) - \phi_{n+m}^\alpha(x)| &= |\log q_n(\alpha) - \log q_{n+m}(\alpha)| \leq \log 2 + \log |q_m(G^n(\alpha))| \\ &\leq \log 2 + m\log(M + 1) \leq 2m\log(M + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus Φ^α is regular with $C_{\mathrm{rg}}(\Phi^\alpha) = 2\log(M + 1)$.

From the definition, Φ^α has bounded variation with $C_{\mathrm{bv}}(\Phi^\alpha) = 0$.

Now assume $w = uv, \tilde{w} = \tilde{u}v \in \Omega_*^{(\alpha)}$ and $x \in [w]^\alpha, \tilde{x} \in [\tilde{w}]^\alpha$. Write $n = |u|, \tilde{n} = |\tilde{u}|$ and $m = |v|$. Similar computation as above shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \log q_m(G^n(\alpha)) &\leq \phi_{|w|}^\alpha(x) - \phi_{|u|}^\alpha(x) \leq \log 2 + \log q_m(G^n(\alpha)), \\ \log q_m(G^{\tilde{n}}(\alpha)) &\leq \phi_{|\tilde{w}|}^\alpha(x) - \phi_{|\tilde{u}|}^\alpha(x) \leq \log 2 + \log q_m(G^{\tilde{n}}(\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Since w and $\tilde{w}$ have the same suffix of length m, we have

$$G^n(\alpha) = [0; v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_m, b_{m+1} \cdots]; \; G^{\tilde{n}}(\alpha) = [0; v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_m, \tilde{b}_{m+1} \cdots].$$

Hence $q_m(G^n(\alpha)) = q_m(G^{\tilde{n}}(\alpha))$. As a consequence, we have

$$|(\phi^\alpha_{|w|}(x) - \phi^\alpha_{|u|}(x)) - (\phi^\alpha_{|\tilde{w}|}(\tilde{x}) - \phi^\alpha_{|\tilde{u}|}(\tilde{x}))| \le \log 2.$$

Thus Φ^α has bounded covariation with $C_{\rm bc}(\Phi^\alpha) = \log 2$.

By the definition, $\Phi^\alpha \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha$. □

Let μ_α be a Gibbs-like measure of Φ^α.

Proposition 5.5. $(\pi_\alpha)_*(\mu_\alpha) \asymp \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$.

Proof. By the definition of π_α and $\asymp$, we only need to show that

$$\mu_\alpha([w]^\alpha) \sim \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}(B_w), \quad \forall w \in \Omega^{(\alpha)}_*. \tag{5.3}$$

On one hand, by Theorem 3.1, Proposition 5.4, and Lemma 4.12, there exists a constant $C > 1$ only depending on M such that for any $w \in \Omega^{(\alpha)}_n$,

$$\frac{1}{Cq_n(\alpha)} \le \mu_\alpha([w]^\alpha) \le \frac{C}{q_n(\alpha)}. \tag{5.4}$$

On the other hand, let H_n be the restriction of $H_{\alpha,\lambda,0}$ to the box $[1, q_n]$ with periodic boundary condition. Let $\mathcal{X}_n = \{x_{n,1}, \cdots, x_{n,q_n}\}$ be the eigenvalues of H_n. Recall that σ_n is defined by (4.6). It is well-known that each band in σ_n contains exactly one value in $\mathcal{X}_n$(see for example [29, 28]). Define $\nu_n := \frac{1}{q_n}\sum_{i=1}^{q_n} \delta_{x_{n,i}}$, then $\nu_n \to \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ weakly(see for example [4]). For any $l > n + 10$, by Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_l(B_w) &= \sum_{u \in \Omega^{(\alpha)}_l, w \prec u} \nu_l(B_u) \\
&= \frac{\#\{u \in \Omega^{(\alpha)}_l : w \prec u, t_u = II, III\}}{q_l(\alpha)} \\
&= \frac{v^{t_w} \cdot \hat{A}_{a_{n+1}} \cdots \hat{A}_{a_l} \cdot v_*}{q_l(\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.7 (i),

$$\begin{aligned}
v^{t_w} \cdot \hat{A}_{a_{n+1}} \cdots \hat{A}_{a_{n+5}} &\sim_M (1,0,1) \cdot \hat{A}_{a_{n+1}} \cdots \hat{A}_{a_{n+5}} \\
\hat{A}_{a_{l-4}} \cdots \hat{A}_{a_l} \cdot v_* &\sim_M \hat{A}_{a_{l-4}} \cdots \hat{A}_{a_l} \cdot (1,1,1)^t.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Lemma 4.7 (ii), Lemma 4.12 and Lemma 4.1 (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_l(B_w) &\sim \frac{(1,0,1) \cdot \hat{A}_{a_{n+1}} \cdots \hat{A}_{a_l} \cdot (1,1,1)^t}{q_l(\alpha)} \\
&= \frac{\#\Omega^{(G^n(\alpha))}_{l-n}}{q_l(\alpha)} \sim \frac{q_{l-n}(G^n(\alpha))}{q_l(\alpha)} \sim \frac{1}{q_n(\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $l \to \infty$, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}(B_w) \sim \frac{1}{q_n(\alpha)}. \tag{5.5}$$

Combine (5.4) and (5.5), we get (5.3). □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i). By Theorem 3.3, μ_α is exact upper and lower dimensional. Since π_α is bi-Lipschitz, and $(\pi_\alpha)_*(\mu_\alpha) \asymp \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ has the same property.

The statement that $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is exact-dimentional if and only if $d_H(\alpha,\lambda) = d_P(\alpha,\lambda)$ follows directly from the definition and the fact that $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is exact upper and lower dimensional. □

5.3. **Non exact-dimentional DOS.** In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.1 (ii), i.e., we construct a Sturm Hamiltonian $H_{\alpha,\lambda,\theta}$ such that the related DOS is not exact-dimensional. We roughly describe the idea as follows: by [27], for $\alpha_\kappa = [0;\kappa,\kappa,\cdots]$, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_\kappa,\lambda}$ is exact-dimensional with dimension $d(\kappa,\lambda)$, and $d(1,\lambda) < d(2,\lambda)$ for λ large enough. We will construct a frequency $\alpha = [0;a_1,a_2,\cdots] \in \mathscr{B}_2$ with $a_1a_2\cdots = 1^{t_1}2^{\tau_1}1^{t_2}2^{\tau_2}\cdots$ such that $t_n \gg \tau_{n-1}$ and $\tau_n \gg t_n$. For such a frequency α, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is, in certain sense, a concatenation of $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_1,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_2,\lambda}$ in an alternative way. We can show that for x in a subset of positive $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ measure, the quantity $\log \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}(B(x,r))/\log r$ oscillates between $d(1,\lambda)$ and $d(2,\lambda)$, which means that the local dimension does not exist at x. In particular, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ can not be exact-dimensional.

Proposition 5.6. *There exist $\alpha \in \mathscr{B}_2$ and $\lambda_0 > 20$ such that $d_H(\alpha,\lambda) < d_P(\alpha,\lambda)$ for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 (ii), for any $\beta \in \mathscr{B}_2$,

$$q_n(\beta) \leq 3^n. \tag{5.6}$$

Define Φ^β by (5.2) and assume μ_β is a Gibbs-like measure of Φ^β. By (5.4), there exists an absolute constant $C > 1$ such that for any $w \in \Omega_n^{(\beta)}$,

$$\frac{1}{Cq_n(\beta)} \leq \mu_\beta([w]^\beta) \leq \frac{C}{q_n(\beta)}. \tag{5.7}$$

By Remark 2.2, Ω_{α_κ} is a subshift of finite type with alphabet $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ and incidence matrix $A_{\kappa\kappa}$ for $\kappa = 1, 2$. Define $\Psi^\kappa = \{\psi_n^\kappa : n \geq 1\}$ on Ω_{α_κ} by

$$\psi_n^\kappa(x) := \ln |B_{\varpi^{x_1}x|_n}|,$$

where $\varpi^{x_1} \in \Omega_5^{(\alpha_\kappa)}$ is some fixed word such that $\varpi^{x_1}x_1$ admissible (see [27] (4.3)). By [27] (Theorem 10 and eq. (5.7)), there exist an ergodic measure ν_κ supported on Ω_{α_κ} and a constant $C_\kappa > 1$ such that (warn that in [27], α_κ is defined as $\alpha_\kappa := [\kappa; \kappa, \kappa, \cdots]$)

$$\dim_H \nu_\kappa = \frac{\log \alpha_\kappa}{\Psi_*^\kappa(\nu_\kappa)} =: d(\kappa, \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad C_\kappa^{-1}\alpha_\kappa^{|w|} \le \nu_\kappa([w]_{\alpha_\kappa}) \le C_\kappa \alpha_\kappa^{|w|}, \quad (5.8)$$

where $\Psi_*^\kappa(\nu_\kappa) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega_{\alpha_\kappa}} \psi_n^\kappa d\nu_\kappa$.

By [27] Proposition 6 and Remark 7, there exists $\lambda_0 > 20$ such that $d(1, \lambda) < d(2, \lambda)$ when $\lambda \ge \lambda_0$. Since ν_κ is ergodic, for ν_κ-a.e. $x \in \Omega_{\alpha_\kappa}$,

$$\frac{\psi_n^\kappa(x)}{n} \to \Psi_*^\kappa(\nu_\kappa). \quad (5.9)$$

Fix $\kappa \in \{1, 2\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, define

$$F_\kappa(n, \epsilon) = \{w \in \Omega_{\alpha_\kappa, n} : \left| \frac{\psi_n^\kappa(x)}{n} - \Psi_*^\kappa(\nu_\kappa) \right| \le \epsilon \text{ for any } x \in [w]_{\alpha_\kappa}\}. \quad (5.10)$$

Since $\psi_n^\kappa(x) = \psi_n^\kappa(y)$ if $x|_n = y|_n$, we can replace "any" by "some" in the definition above. For each $e \in \mathcal{A}_\kappa$, define

$$F_\kappa(e, n, \epsilon) := F_\kappa(n, \epsilon) \cap \Xi_{e,n-1}(\alpha_\kappa).$$

Claim: For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $l_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\kappa \in \{1, 2\}$, any $e \in \mathcal{A}_\kappa$ and any $n \ge l_m$,

$$\frac{\#F_\kappa(e, n, 2^{-m})}{\#\Xi_{e,n-1}(\alpha_\kappa)} \ge 1 - C^{-2}2^{-m}.$$

$\lhd$ Fix any $e \in \mathcal{A}_\kappa$. By (5.9), $\nu_\kappa(A_n(e)) \to \nu_\kappa([e]_{\alpha_\kappa})$ as $n \to \infty$, where

$$A_n(e) := \bigcup_{w \in F_\kappa(e,n,2^{-m})} [w]_{\alpha_\kappa}.$$

Write $\eta_m := C_\kappa^{-2}C^{-2}2^{-m}$. Assume $l_m(e) \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that for any $n \ge l_m(e)$,

$$\nu_\kappa(A_n(e)) \ge (1 - \eta_m)\nu_\kappa([e]_{\alpha_\kappa}). \quad (5.11)$$

Define $l_m := \max\{l_m(e) : e \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2\}$. Fix any $e \in \mathcal{A}_\kappa$ and $n \ge l_m$, by (5.8),

$$\nu_\kappa([e]_{\alpha_\kappa} \setminus A_n(e)) \ge (\#\Xi_{e,n-1}(\alpha_\kappa) - \#F_\kappa(e, n, 2^{-m}))C_\kappa^{-1}\alpha_\kappa^n.$$

By (5.11) and (5.8), we have

$$\nu_\kappa([e]_{\alpha_\kappa} \setminus A_n(e)) \le \eta_m \ \nu_\kappa([e]_{\alpha_\kappa}) \le \eta_m \#\Xi_{e,n-1}(\alpha_\kappa)C_\kappa\alpha_\kappa^n.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\frac{\#\Xi_{e,n-1}(\alpha_\kappa)-\#F_\kappa(e,n,2^{-m})}{\#\Xi_{e,n-1}(\alpha_\kappa)}\le C^{-2}2^{-m},$$

which implies the claim. ▷

For any $\beta\in\mathscr{B}_2$, define Ψ^β by (5.1). By (4.7), for any $x\in\Omega^{(\beta)}$,

$$2\log 2+n\log c\le\psi_n^\beta(x)\le 2\log 2-n\log 2, \tag{5.12}$$

where $0<c<1$ only depends on λ. By [27] (4.4), there exists constant $d(\lambda)>0$ such that for any $\kappa\in\{1,2\}$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $y\in\Omega_{\alpha_\kappa}$,

$$-d(\lambda)(n+6)\le\psi_n^\kappa(y)\le -n\log 2. \tag{5.13}$$

Now we define two sequences $\{t_n:n\ge1\}$ and $\{\tau_n:n\ge1\}$ inductively as follows. Define $t_1=\tau_1:=l_1$. Assume $t_1,\cdots,t_{n-1},\tau_1,\cdots,\tau_{n-1}$ have been defined. Write $\widehat{T}_{n-1}:=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(t_j+\tau_j)$ with convention $\widehat{T}_0=0$ and choose $t_n\ge l_n$ such that

$$\begin{cases}\widehat{T}_{n-1}\log 3+\log q_{t_n}(\alpha_1)\le(1+\frac1n)\log q_{t_n}(\alpha_1),\\ |\psi^\beta_{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}|_{\max}+\psi^1_{t_n}(x)\le(1-\frac1n)\psi^1_{t_n}(x),\ (\forall\beta\in\mathscr{B}_2,\ \forall x\in\Omega_{\alpha_1}).\end{cases} \tag{5.14}$$

By (5.12), (5.13) and the fact that $q_n(\alpha_1)\sim\alpha_1^{-n}$, such t_n exists. Write $T_n:=\widehat{T}_{n-1}+t_n$ and choose $\tau_n\ge l_n$ such that

$$-|\psi^\beta_{T_n}|_{\min}+\psi^2_{\tau_n}(y)\ge(1+\frac1n)\psi^2_{\tau_n}(y),\ (\forall\beta\in\mathscr{B}_2,\ \forall y\in\Omega_{\alpha_2}). \tag{5.15}$$

By (5.12) and (5.13), such τ_n exists.

Define $\alpha:=[0;a_1,a_2,\cdots]\in\mathscr{B}_2$ with $a_1a_2\cdots=1^{t_1}2^{\tau_1}1^{t_2}2^{\tau_2}\cdots$. Assume μ_α is a Gibbs-like measure of Φ^α. Let us show that

$$\dim_H\mu_\alpha\le d(1,\lambda)\quad\text{ and }\quad\dim_P\mu_\alpha\ge d(2,\lambda).$$

Recall that $\mathcal{A}_1=\{(I,1)_1,(I,2)_1,II_1,(III,1)_1\}=\{e_{1,1},e_{1,2},e_{1,3},e_{1,4}\}$. Define a Cantor subset $\mathscr{C}$ of $\Omega^{(\alpha)}$ as follows. Write $\epsilon_m=2^{-m}$ and define

$$\begin{cases}W_1&:=\{Iu:u\in F_1(e_{1,3},t_1,\epsilon_1)\},\\ \widehat{W}_1&:=\{uv\in\Omega^{(\alpha)}_{\widehat{T}_1}:u\in W_1,\ v\in F_2(\tau_1,\epsilon_1)\}.\end{cases}$$

Assume $W_{n-1},\widehat{W}_{n-1}$ have been defined, define $W_n,\widehat{W}_n$ as

$$\begin{cases}W_n&:=\{uv\in\Omega^{(\alpha)}_{T_n}:u\in\widehat{W}_{n-1},\ v\in F_1(t_n,\epsilon_n)\},\\ \widehat{W}_n&:=\{uv\in\Omega^{(\alpha)}_{\widehat{T}_n}:u\in W_n,\ v\in F_2(\tau_n,\epsilon_n)\}.\end{cases}$$

Define $\mathscr{C}_n:=\bigcup_{w\in W_n}[w]^\alpha$ and $\widehat{\mathscr{C}_n}:=\bigcup_{w\in\widehat{W}_n}[w]^\alpha$. It is seen that $\mathscr{C}_{n+1}\subset\widehat{\mathscr{C}_n}\subset\mathscr{C}_n$. Define $\mathscr{C}:=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathscr{C}_n$. Let us show that $\mu_\alpha(\mathscr{C})>0$.

At first, W_1 is nonempty by the Claim. Then by (5.7), $\mu_\alpha(\mathscr{C}_1) > 0$. Next, we compare $\mu_\alpha(\widehat{\mathscr{C}_1})$ and $\mu_\alpha(\mathscr{C}_1)$. By (5.7) and the Claim, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_\alpha(\mathscr{C}_1 \setminus \widehat{\mathscr{C}_1}) &= \sum_{w\in W_1} \sum_{\substack{e\in\mathcal{A}_2\\ w\to e}} \sum_{u\in \Xi_{e,\tau_1-1}(\alpha_2)\setminus F_2(e,\tau_1,\epsilon_1)} \mu_\alpha([wu]^\alpha) \\
&\le \sum_{w\in W_1} \sum_{\substack{e\in\mathcal{A}_2\\ w\to e}} \frac{C(\#\Xi_{e,\tau_1-1}(\alpha_2) - \#F_2(e,\tau_1,\epsilon_1))}{q_{\widehat{T}_1}(\alpha)} \\
&\le C^{-1}2^{-1} \sum_{w\in W_1} \sum_{\substack{e\in\mathcal{A}_2\\ w\to e}} \frac{\#\Xi_{e,\tau_1-1}(\alpha_2)}{q_{\widehat{T}_1}(\alpha)} \\
&\le 2^{-1} \sum_{w\in W_1} \sum_{\substack{e\in\mathcal{A}_2\\ w\to e}} \sum_{u\in \Xi_{e,\tau_1-1}(\alpha_2)} \mu_\alpha([wu]^\alpha) = 2^{-1}\mu_\alpha(\mathscr{C}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Or equivalently, we have $\mu_\alpha(\widehat{\mathscr{C}_1}) \ge (1-2^{-1})\mu_\alpha(\mathscr{C}_1)$. By essentially the same proof as above, we can show that for any $n \ge 2$,

$$\mu_\alpha(\mathscr{C}_n) \ge (1-2^{-n})\mu_\alpha(\widehat{\mathscr{C}_{n-1}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_\alpha(\widehat{\mathscr{C}_n}) \ge (1-2^{-n})\mu_\alpha(\mathscr{C}_n).$$

As a consequence, we get

$$\mu_\alpha(\mathscr{C}) \ge \mu_\alpha(\mathscr{C}_1)(1-2^{-1}) \prod_{n\ge 2}(1-2^{-n})^2 > 0.$$

Take any $x \in \mathscr{C}$ and write $x|_{\widehat{T}_n} = Iu_1v_1\cdots u_nv_n$ such that $|u_i| = t_i$ and $|v_i| = \tau_i$. Then $u_i \in F_1(t_i,\epsilon_i)$, $v_i \in F_2(\tau_i,\epsilon_i)$ and $x|_{T_n} = x|_{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}u_n$, $x|_{\widehat{T}_n} = x|_{T_n}v_n$. By the definition of α, $G^{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}(\alpha) = [0;\underbrace{1,\cdots,1}_{t_n},\cdots]$, hence $q_{t_n}(G^{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}\alpha) = q_{t_n}(\alpha_1)$. By (5.7), Lemma 4.1 and (5.6), we have

$$\mu_\alpha([x|_{T_n}]^\alpha) \sim \frac{1}{q_{T_n}(\alpha)} \sim \frac{1}{q_{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}(\alpha)q_{t_n}(G^{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}\alpha)} \ge \frac{1}{3^{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}q_{t_n}(\alpha_1)}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 (ii), Lemma 5.1 and (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{diam}([x|_{T_n}]^\alpha) \sim_\lambda \exp(\psi^\alpha_{T_n}(x)) = |B_{x|_{T_n}}| = \frac{|B_{x|_{T_n}}|}{|B_{x|_{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}}|}\cdot|B_{x|_{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}}| \\
= \ &\frac{|B_{x|_{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}u_n}|}{|B_{x|_{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}}|}\cdot\exp(\psi^\alpha_{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now take any $y^n \in [u_n]_{\alpha_1}$, we have

$$\exp(\psi^1_{t_n}(y^n)) = |B_{\varpi^{y^n_1}y^n|_{t_n}}| = |B_{\varpi^{y^n_1}u_n}| = \frac{|B_{\varpi^{y^n_1}u_n}|}{|B_{\varpi^{y^n_1}}|}|B_{\varpi^{y^n_1}}|.$$

Since $\varpi^{y_1^n} \in \Omega_5^{(\alpha_1)}$, it only has finitely many choices, combine with Theorem 4.10, we have

$$\operatorname{diam}([x|_{T_n}]^\alpha) \sim_\lambda \ \exp(\psi^1_{t_n}(y^n)) \exp(\psi^\alpha_{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}(x)).$$

Recall that $u_n \in F_1(t_n, \epsilon_n)$, thus by (5.14), (5.10), (5.8) and the fact that $q_n(\alpha_1) \sim \alpha_1^{-n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{d}_{\mu_\alpha}(x) &\le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \mu_\alpha([x|_{T_n}]^\alpha)}{\log \operatorname{diam}([x|_{T_n}]^\alpha)} \\
&\le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{O(1) + \widehat{T}_{n-1} \log 3 + \log q_{t_n}(\alpha_1)}{-\Big(O(1) + \psi^\alpha_{\widehat{T}_{n-1}}(x) + \psi^1_{t_n}(y^n)\Big)} \\
&\le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{(1+\frac{1}{n}) \log q_{t_n}(\alpha_1)}{-(1-\frac{1}{n})\psi^1_{t_n}(y^n)} = d(1,\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, notice that $G^{T_n}(\alpha) = [0; \underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{\tau_n}, \cdots]$, thus $q_{\tau_n}(G^{T_n}(\alpha)) = q_{\tau_n}(\alpha_2)$. Hence by (5.7) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\mu_\alpha([x|_{\widehat{T}_n}]^\alpha) \sim \frac{1}{q_{\widehat{T}_n}(\alpha)} \sim \frac{1}{q_{T_n}(\alpha) q_{\tau_n}(G^{T_n}(\alpha))} \le \frac{1}{q_{\tau_n}(\alpha_2)}.$$

Take any $z^n \in [v_n]_{\alpha_2}$, by similar argument as above, we also have

$$\operatorname{diam}([x|_{\widehat{T}_n}]^\alpha) \sim_\lambda \ \exp(\psi^2_{\tau_n}(z^n)) \exp(\psi^\alpha_{T_n}(x)).$$

Recall that $v_n \in F_2(\tau_n, \epsilon_n)$, thus by (5.15), (5.10), (5.8) and the fact that $q_n(\alpha_2) \sim \alpha_2^{-n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{d}_{\mu_\alpha}(x) &\ge \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \mu_\alpha([x|_{\widehat{T}_n}]^\alpha)}{\log \operatorname{diam}([x|_{\widehat{T}_n}]^\alpha)} \\
&\ge \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{O(1) + \log q_{\tau_n}(\alpha_2)}{-\big(O(1) + \psi^\alpha_{T_n}(x) + \psi^2_{\tau_n}(z^n)\big)} \\
&\ge \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log q_{\tau_n}(\alpha_2)}{-(1+\frac{1}{n})\psi^2_{\tau_n}(z^n)} = d(2,\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, for any $x \in \mathscr{C}$, we have

$$\underline{d}_{\mu_\alpha}(x) \le d(1,\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{d}_{\mu_\alpha}(x) \ge d(2,\lambda).$$

Since $\mu_\alpha(\mathscr{C}) > 0$ and μ_α is exact upper and lower dimensional by Theorem 3.3, we conclude that

$$\dim_H \mu_\alpha \le d(1,\lambda) < d(2,\lambda) \le \dim_P \mu_\alpha.$$

Now by Proposition 5.5, we have $(\pi_\alpha)_*(\mu_\alpha) \asymp \mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$. Thus

$$d_H(\alpha,\lambda) = \dim_H \mu_\alpha < \dim_P \mu_\alpha = d_P(\alpha,\lambda).$$

and the result follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii). For α constructed in Proposition 5.6, $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is not exact-dimensional, since its Hausdorff and packing dimensions do not coincide. □

Acknowledgement. The author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 11371055 and No. 11431007.

References

[1] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit, *Automatic sequences. Theory, applications, generalizations.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[2] J. Barral and Y.-H. Qu, *On the higher-dimensional multifractal analysis*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 32 (2012), no. 6, 1977-1995.

[3] J. Bellissard, B. Iochum, E. Scoppola and D. Testart, *Spectral properties of one dimensional quasi-crystals*, Commun. Math. Phys. **125**(1989), 527-543.

[4] R. Carmona and J. Lacroix, *Spectral theory of random Schrdinger operators. Probability and its Applications*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.

[5] D. Damanik, *Schrödinger Operators with dynamically defined potentials.* Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems. online available on CJO2016. doi:10.1017/etds.2015.120.

[6] D. Damanik and A. Gorodetski, *Spectral and quantum dynamical properties of the weakly coupled Fibonacci Hamiltonian*, Commun. Math. Phys. 305 (2011), 221-277.

[7] D. Damanik and A. Gorodetski, *The density of states measure of the weakly coupled Fibonacci Hamiltonian*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 22 (2012), no. 4, 976-989.

[8] D. Damanik and A. Gorodetski, *Hölder continuity of the integrated density of states for the Fibonacci Hamiltonian*, Comm. Math. Phys. 323 (2013), no. 2, 497-515.

[9] D. Damanik, A. Gorodetski, W. Yessen, *The Fibonacci Hamiltonian*, Inventiones mathematicae. online available, DOI: 10.1007/s00222-016-0660-x.

[10] S. Fan, Q.H. Liu, Z.Y. Wen, *Gibbs like measure for spectrum of a class of quasicrystals*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **31**(2011), 1669-1695.

[11] D. Gatzouras and Y. Peres, *Invariant measures of full dimension for some expanding maps*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., **17** (1997), 147–167.

[12] A. Girand, *Dynamical Green Functions and Discrete Schrödinger Operators with Potentials Generated by Primitive Invertible Substitution*, Nonlinearity, 27 (2014) 527-543.

[13] S. Jitomirskaya, S. W. Zhang, *Quantitative continuity of singular continuous spectral measures and arithmetic criteria for quasiperiodic Schrödinger operators*, arXiv:1510.07086.

[14] M. Kesseböhmer and B. Stratman, *A multifractal formalism for growth rates and applications to geometrically finite Kleinian groups*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., **24** (2004), 141-170.

[15] M. Kohmoto, L. P. Kadanoff, C. Tang, *Localization problem in one dimension: mapping and escape*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983), 1870-1872.

[16] Q.H. Liu, J. Peyrière and Z.Y. Wen, *Dimension of the spectrum of one-dimensional discrete Schrödinger operators with Sturmian potentials*, Comptes Randus Mathematique, 345:12(2007), 667-672.

[17] Q. H. Liu, Y. H. Qu, Z. Y. Wen, *The fractal dimensions of the spectrum of Sturm Hamiltonian*, Adv. Math. 257 (2014), 285-336.

[18] Q.H. Liu, Z.Y. Wen, *Hausdorff dimension of spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operator with Sturmian potentials*, Potential Analysis **20:1**(2004), 33–59.

[19] Q.H. Liu, Z.Y. Wen, *On dimensions of multitype Moran sets*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phyl. Soc. 139:3(2005), 541–553.

[20] Lothaire, M. *Algebraic combinatorics on words.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 90. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[21] J.-H. Ma, H. Rao and Z.-Y. Wen, *Dimensions of cookie-cutter-like sets.* Sci. China Ser. A 44 (2001), no. 11, 1400-1412.

[22] Mattila P., *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces. Fractals and rectifiability.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 44. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[23] May Mei, *Spectra of Discrete Schrödinger Operators with Primitive Invertible Substitution Potentials*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), no. 8, 082701, 22 pp.

[24] P. Munger, *Frequency dependence of Hölder continuity for quasiperiodic Schrödinger operators,* (2013), arXiv:1310.8553.

[25] S. Ostlund, R. Pandit, D. Rand, H. Schellnhuber, E. Siggia, *One-dimensional Schrödinger equation with an almost periodic potential*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983), 1873-1877.

[26] M. Pollicott, *Analyticity of dimensions for hyperbolic surface diffeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), no. 8, 3465-3474.

[27] Y.-H. Qu, *The spectral properties of the strongly coupled Sturm Hamiltonian of eventually constant type*, Annales Henri Poincaré, 17 (2016), 2475-2511.

[28] L. Raymond, *A constructive gap labelling for the discrete schrödinger operater on a quasiperiodic chain.*(Preprint,1997)

[29] M. Toda, *Theory of Nonlinear Lattices*, Number 20 in Solid-State Sciences, Springer-Verlag, second enlarged edition, 1989. Chap. 4.

(Y.H. QU) Department of Mathematical Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China

E-mail address: yhqu@math.tsinghua.edu.cn